

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125305
Title of the Manuscript:	In Silico and Cytoprotective Study of Ethanolic Extracts in oil-bearing Rose (<i>Rosa damascena</i> Mill.) flower extracts
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is better for scientific knowledge and research regarding natural phytochemicals. This enhances the ways towards solution of diseases like oxidative stress and its corelated defects. Cancer diseases can be cured using natural products and there is need to corelate the mechanism of action of phytochemicals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add the brief objectives of the study design. Also give an account about human cell lines, company name and origin of cell lines.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are inappropriate: In material and method section there is need to mention from where theses flowers were collected? How the rose sps. was identified? How extracts were prepared, Give methodology of experimental work a way to performed each subsection by adding few sentences more. There is not any mentioned graphical representation of results and not given the figures of the experimental work like cell lines work. Add more sentences in introduction and about why the study is corelated to oxidative stress.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript needs more improvement in scientific way. Research is not mentioned in present scientific ways	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Give references about extracts preparation in methods, phytochemicals screening and Insilico studies.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improve the English language grammatically	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Methods given need more description in each subsection	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Zakryya Khan
Department, University & Country	Islamabad Model College for Boys, Pakistan