

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_124949
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of some Morpho-cultural characters of <i>Venturia inaequalis</i> (Apple Scab Pathogen) under Kashmir conditions
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article discuss the morpho and cultural characteristics of <i>V. inaequalis</i> a causal agent of apple scab. Isolates from various sites were evaluated and grown in PDA and MEA. The paper is important since it covers the growth of fungal pathogens which could lead to diversity studies and aid in biocontrol of these fungal pathogens and the disease associated with it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It should be indicated in the abstract that the fungal pathogens were grown in PDA and MEA, include the conclusion in the abstract	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The results and discussion should be separated based on the presentation in the Table. The paragraph is too lengthy yet further discussion on results and more supporting documents for discussion must be done	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is well written, however, the important results were not discussed. The introduction is very informative. The methods are complete. The results and discussion are very substantial but need further discussion. Discuss further the results based on the table on figures. More literatures must be cited	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More references are necessary that could discuss the morphological growth of the fungi; the composition of MEA and PDA; cite other studies with the same fungal pathogen and their growth and how place where there were isolated affects its growth	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The results of the paper is valuable	

**Comment [MV1]:** Indicate that the fungi were grown in PDA and MEA, include your conclusion here

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mary Jhane G. Valentino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central Luzon State University, Philippines</b>