

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123948
Title of the Manuscript:	MILLET: A PROMISING CROPS TOWARDS FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SECURITY OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Review article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review article has not only contributed significantly to our understanding of millet importance, but also demonstrates a keen intellect to nutritional importance of millets.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Title is not suitable for article, current title have line NUTRITIONAL SECURITY OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES but in manuscript there is no justifying data and reason provided regarding developing countries millets production in present and past few years.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Rewrite the abstract without coping it from other literature.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Subsection appropriate, but it is suggested to add graphs	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The depth and breadth of information presented are impressive, and the analysis conducted showcases a thorough understanding regarding millets.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<p>The citation of references is not correctly mentioned.</p> <p>Suggestion of references must added in reference section</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hulse JH, Laing EM, Pearson OE. 1980. Sorghum and the millets: their composition and nutritive value. New York: Academic Press. p 1–997. • FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). 1995. Sorghum and millets in human nutrition. Rome, Italy: FAO • Gupta, H., Panigrahi, T., Patle, U., Pandre, E., Singh, T. and Shweta, K. 2024. Revitalizing Millets for Nutritional Security & Fortifying Food and Uplifting Small and Marginal Farmers in India: A Review. <i>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</i>. 14, 1 (Jan. 2024), 14–26. DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i13789. • Rajib Kumar Sen; Hemant Kumar Meena and Vedeika Shekhar, (2023); PROMOTING MILLETS IN DIETS: BEST PRACTICES ACROSS STATES/UTs OF INDIA, NITI Aayog, 978-81-956821-5-7 • Tiwari, H., Naresh, R. K., Kumar, L., Kataria, S. K., Tewari, S., Saini, A., Yadav, R. K., & Asati, R. (2022). Millets for Food and Nutritional Security for Small and Marginal Farmers of North West India in the Context of Climate Change: A Review. <i>International Journal of Plant & Soil Science</i>, 34(23), 1694–1705. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2022/v34i232594 	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is spelling mistakes. And quality of English is poor.	
Optional/General comments	Reviewer's comment <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Most of the part of manuscript is copied from other literatures.• Missing references in reference section - (Devi <i>et al.</i>, 2011). (Obilana and Manyasa, 2002), (Devi <i>et al.</i>, 2011; FAO, 2009), (Amadou <i>et al.</i>, 2011a; Izadi <i>et al.</i>, 2012). (Lestienne <i>et al.</i>, 2007); (Amadou <i>et al.</i>, 2011), (Thompson, 1993). (Van Rensburg, 1981). (Graf and Eaton, 1990). (Chandrasekara and Shahidi, 2010).• Reference provided in reference section have no relation or proper mentioning in manuscript , and missing reference quoted above are not mention in reference section , maybe author copied the written material of manuscript from other literatures as it is.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harsh Gupta
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, India