

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_124871
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF RICE AS INFLUENCED BY THE NANO FORMS OF NITROGEN AND ZINC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Involvement in the nano based science is quite needful and serving as a great opportunity to lessen the environmental hazards caused by surplus chemical applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the manuscript could be more catchy to fetch more audience attention. E.g. Cultivar name can be added into the title for more justification of rice crop and region could be mentioned for further clarity.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Treatment details could have been shortened to provide well structured abstract. In result, comparison among the best and least needs to be highlighted to provide the relevance of study outcomes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Application of full nutrient doses resulted in lower yield as compared to 50 per cent only through the external application of nano-fertilizers. Value gaps are very much higher, kindly check the rough data again if possible? 2. Difference in grain and straw yield is quite very high (T6) as compared to best (T10) treatment, check again? 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Not required	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality is text is good and suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	NA	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shubham
Department, University & Country	Chandigarh University, India