

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_125177
Title of the Manuscript:	Establishing Climate Change on Rainfall Trend, Variation and Change Point Pattern in Umuahia, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The submitted manuscript deals with topical issues such as climate change. In this capacity, the manuscript has relevance for the scientific community. As a second indicator of importance, the regional nature of the study can be pointed out.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate - it suits the material presented as well as the aims and scope of the journal.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provided is comprehensive enough and synthesizes the content of the manuscript.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections in the manuscript are properly structured.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a correct and original work from a scientific point of view. The methodology is clearly defined and shows the approaches of the research. The results are described with due accuracy. The discussion is ably supported by cartographic, tabular, and graphic representations.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Eighteen cited publications related to the topic of the manuscript are presented, most of them published after 2000.	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	In the course of reviewing the manuscript, 28 spelling errors were identified. The use of software to detect and correct grammatical omissions is highly recommended.	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	The submitted manuscript contains 13 pages. It is structured in 5 sections - introduction, materials and methods, results, discussion and conclusion. The research text is supported by 12 figures, 2 tables and one map. The exposition is presented comprehensively and correctly from a scientific point of view. It is necessary to pay attention to the grammar, and it is recommended to use software to correlate the gaps. The conclusion is "open" and allows the research to evolve. It is recommended that the author(s) monitor the development of the processes under study in view of the need to update the data and analysis.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Georgi Belev
Department, University & Country	Institute at Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Republic of Bulgaria