

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_125219
Title of the Manuscript:	CYTOTOXIC AND ANTI-INFLAMMATORY PROPERTIES OF TWO MEDICINAL PLANT RECIPIENTS, ACPHYX AND CHRYFA, USED IN TRADITIONAL MEDICINE OF BURKINA FASO
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The strongest anti-inflammatory activity in vitro and in vivo was observed with ACPHYX extract. ACPHYX recipe compared to CHRYFA showed better cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory properties. Its use could help to treat tumor and inflammatory conditions through further studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it's suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it's appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Because the manuscript highlights the strongest anti-inflammatory activity in vitro and in vivo was observed with ACPHYX extract. ACPHYX recipe compared to CHRYFA showed better cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory properties. Its use could help to treat tumor and inflammatory conditions through further studies.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable and understandable.	
Optional/General comments	Accept as it is.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhananjay Sharma
Department, University & Country	Amity Medical School, Amity University, India