

[Review Form 3](#)

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_125294
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Organic Manures and Chemical Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Green gram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Scientific article base on facts and figures i.e Effet of Organic Ménures and Chemical Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Green gram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • maximum growth parameters like, plant height (51.45 cm), number of branches per plant (4.08) and yield parameters like, number of pods per plant (20.58, number of seed per pod (8.65), test weight (38.92 g), grain yield (1106.45 kg/ha), straw yield (2583.85 kg/ha) will be obtained using 75% RDF + Use of Vermicompost • Maximum net return (48,100 Rs/ha) and B:C ratio (1.58) was recorded with T₉-75% +Vermicomposting @ 1.25 t per ha. • There is significant response of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on the growth and yield of the green gram 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, It is suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, It is comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, Appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1. Table 1. Table 2 and Table 3 lacks CV(%). If it will be given this will gives correctness of field experiments. 2. lacking on discussion points cited on the references cited like Nawale <i>et al.</i> (2009) and Patel (2012) and Kemal <i>et al.</i> (2018), 3. Which statistical tools or references books knowledge is used not mentioned in the material methods</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, Recent one</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, It is manageable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend it for publication after inclusion of above references and little bit addition of more result discussion</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nirakar Ranasingh
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Odisha University of Agriculture & Technology, India