

In vitro* efficacy of different fungicides and bioagents for management wilt of watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus*] incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum

Abstract

Fusarium wilt of watermelon caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* has been emerging as one of the major threats to profitable cultivation of watermelon crop. In present investigation, seven fungicides viz., Carbendazim 50% WP, Copper Oxchloride 50% WP, Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25% WG, Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% (75% WP), Chlorothalonil 75% WP, Azoxystrobin 23% SC, Tebuconazole 25.9% EC and four bioagents namely, *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma koningii* and *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* were evaluated *in vitro* at two different concentrations for their efficacy against the pathogen causing wilt of watermelon. Among the different fungicides evaluated, Carbendazim 50% WP (0.1 and 0.2% concentration) and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% (0.1 and 0.2% concentration) were inhibiting mycelial growth of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* completely. Among the different bioagents evaluated, *Trichoderma harzianum* was found most effective with significantly least mycelial growth (22.50 mm) and highest mycelial growth inhibition (75.00%) of the test pathogen.

Keywords: Watermelon, *Fusarium oxysporum*, Wilt, Bioagents, Fungicides

Introduction:

Watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb.) Matsum and Nakai] is almost widely grown vegetable crops in the warmer parts of the world. It is a well-liked summer vegetable fruit with Cucurbitaceae family of gourds and has chromosomal number $2n=2x=22$ (Paris, 2015). It is known by various names in different regions of India such as kalingad, kalindi, and tarbuj. The arid areas of Africa, especially the Kalahari Desert, are said to be the origin of watermelon (Strauss, 2015). Among the various fungal diseases, *Fusarium* wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* is most severe soil borne disease and considered as a most important disease in watermelon. The yield loss is around 30–80% or even more, and is presently a major hindrance to watermelon cultivation worldwide (Rahman *et al.* 2021). Initial symptoms often include a dull, gray green appearance of leaves that precedes a loss of turgor pressure and wilting. Wilting is followed by a yellowing of the leaves and finally necrosis. The wilting generally starts with the older leaves and progresses to the younger foliage. Initial symptoms often occur as the plant is beginning to vine and wilting may occur in only one runner leaving the rest of the plant apparently unaffected. Under conditions of sufficiently high inoculum density or a very

susceptible host, the entire plant may wilt and die within a short time. Affected plants that do not die are often stunted and have considerably reduced yields. Under high inoculum pressure, seedlings may damp off as they emerge from the soil (Egel and Martyn, 2024).

Materials and Methods

The present study was conducted at the Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli during the year 2023-2024. Seven fungicides namely, Carbendazim 50% WP, Copper Oxochloride 50% WP, Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25% WG, Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% (75% WP), Chlorothalonil 75% WP, Azoxystrobin 23% SC and Tebuconazole 25.9% EC were tested *in vitro* against the pathogen at two different concentrations by applying “Poisoned Food Technique” (Nene and Thapliyal, 1993) with three replications in Completely Randomized Design. Four bioagents viz., *Trichoderma viride*, *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Trichoderma koningii* and *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* were evaluated *in vitro* for their efficacy against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* by applying “Dual culture technique” (Dennis and Webster, 1971) with four replications in Completely Randomized Design (CRD). The efficacy of bioagents and fungicides of test fungus was expressed as per cent inhibition of mycelial growth over control and Per cent inhibition was calculated by using the formula given by Vincent (1947).

$$\text{Per cent Inhibition (I)} = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

C = Growth (mm) of the test fungus in untreated control plate

T = Growth (mm) of the test fungus in treated plate

Results and Discussion

1. *In vitro* efficacy of fungicides against pathogen of wilt of watermelon

Results from the table 1, plate I (a and b) and fig. 1 revealed that all the fungicides evaluated *in vitro* were significantly effective against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum*, a causal organism of wilt of watermelon.

1.1 Effect on colony growth

At lower concentration, the fungicides observed radial mycelial growth of the test pathogen from 0.00 mm (Carbendazim 50% WP and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63 % WP)

to 34.00 mm (Azoxystrobin 23% EC) as against 90.00 mm in untreated control. However, Carbendazim 50% WP and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63 % WP was most effective with least of the mycelial growth (0.00 mm) followed by Tebuconazole 25.9% EC (10.00 mm), Tebuconazole 50 % WG + Trifloxystrobin 25 % WG (15.50 mm), Copper Oxychloride 50 % WP (24.00 mm), Chlorothalonil 75% WP (28.00 mm). Azoxystrobin 23% EC was found comparatively less effective with maximum mycelial growth of 34.00 mm.

At higher concentration, (Table 1, Fig. 1 and plate I) the fungicides observed radial mycelial growth of the test pathogen was ranged from 0.00 mm (Carbendazim 50% WP, Tebuconazole 25.9% EC, Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63 % WP) to 28.50 mm (Azoxystrobin 23% EC), as against 90.00 mm in untreated control. Carbendazim 50% WP, Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63 % WP and Tebuconazole 25% EC was found most effective with least of the mycelial growth (0.00 mm) followed by Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25 % WG (12.50 mm), Copper Oxychloride 50 % WP (19.50 mm), Chlorothalonil 75% WP (23.00 mm). Azoxystrobin 23% EC was shown to be less effective, with the maximum mycelial growth of 28.50 mm.

1.2 Effect on mycelial growth inhibition

At Lower concentration, per cent mycelial growth inhibition of the test pathogen ranged from 62.22% (Azoxystrobin 23% EC) to 100% (Carbendazim 50% WP and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% (75% WP)). However, fungicide Carbendazim 50% and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP was found most effective which was inhibited 100.00% mycelial growth followed by fungicide Tebuconazole 25.9% EC (94.44%), Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25% WG (82.77%), Copper Oxychloride 50% WP (73.33%), Chlorothalonil 75% WP (68.88%). Azoxystrobin 23% EC was found comparatively less effective with minimum mycelial inhibition per cent of 62.22%.



At Higher concentration, per cent mycelial growth inhibition of the test pathogen ranged from 73.88% (Azoxystrobin 23% EC) to 100% (Carbendazim 50% WP, Tebuconazole 25% EC and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% (75% WP)). However, fungicide Carbendazim 50% WP, Tebuconazole 25.9% EC and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% WP was found most effective which was inhibited 100.00% mycelial growth followed by fungicide Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25% WG (86.11%), Copper Oxychloride 50% WP (78.33%), Chlorothalonil 75% WP (73.88%). Azoxystrobin 23% EC was found comparatively less effective with minimum mycelial inhibition per cent of 68.33%.

Similarly, Parmar (2014) studied the efficacy of six different combination fungicides at different concentrations against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* using poisoned food technique and results revealed that carbendazim 12% + mancozeb 63% WP inhibited growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* (100%). Gurjar and Shekhawat (2012) also evaluated *in vitro* efficacy of five fungicides against *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht and reported that, Carbendazim 50% WP was found to be most effective fungicide in checking mycelia growth. Madhavi & Bhattiprolu (2011) were carried out similar study on *in vitro* efficacy of six fungicides against *Fusarium solani* by Poisoned Food Technique showed that a combination of carbendazim 12% + mancozeb 63% was effective in inhibiting mycelial growth, followed by Carbendazim alone.

Table 1: *In vitro* efficacy of fungicides against pathogen of wilt of watermelon

Tr. No.	Treatment	Conc. (%)	Mean colony dia. (mm)	(%) Inhibition over control	Conc. (%)	Mean colony dia. (mm)	(%) Inhibition over control
T ₁	Carbendazim 50% WP	0.1%	0.00	100.00	0.2%	0.00	100.00
T ₂	Copper Oxochloride 50% WP	0.1%	24.00	73.33	0.2%	19.50	78.33
T ₃	Tebuconazole 50% + Trifloxystrobin 25% WG	0.1%	15.50	82.77	0.2%	12.50	86.11
T ₄	Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% (75% WP)	0.25%	0.00	100.00	0.3%	0.00	100.00
T ₅	Chlorothalonil 75% WP	0.1%	28.00	68.88	0.2%	23.00	73.88
T ₆	Azoxystrobin 23% SC	0.2%	34.00	62.22	0.3%	28.50	68.33
T ₇	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	0.05%	10.00	94.44	0.1%	0.00	100.00
T ₈	Control (Untreated)	-	90.00	0.00	-	90.00	0.00
	S.E. (m) ±		0.38			0.27	
	C.D. at 1%		1.57			1.11	

Fig. 1: In vitro efficacy of fungicides against the *F. oxysporum* f.sp. niveum



2. *In vitro* efficacy of bioagents against pathogen of wilt of watermelon

The result from table 2, plate II and fig.2 showed that all the bioagents studied *in vitro* were significantly effective in inhibiting the mycelial growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum*. Among the four bioagents *Trichoderma harzianum* was found to be most effective with significantly least mycelial growth (22.50 mm) and highest mycelial growth inhibition (75.00%) of the test pathogen followed by *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* (23.75 mm and 73.61% respectively), *Trichoderma viride* (27.50 mm and 69.44%, respectively) and *Trichoderma koningii* (35.00 mm and 61.11%, respectively). *Trichoderma koningii* was found comparatively less effective with maximum mycelial growth (35.00 mm) and minimum mycelial growth inhibition (61.11%).

Table 2. *In vitro* efficacy of bioagents against pathogen of wilt of watermelon.

Tr. No.	Bioagents	Mean colony dia. (mm)	(%) Inhibition over control
T ₁	<i>Trichoderma viride</i>	27.50	69.44
T ₂	<i>Trichoderma harzianum</i>	22.50	75.00
T ₃	<i>Trichoderma koningii</i>	35.00	61.11
T ₄	<i>Trichoderma longibrachiatum</i>	23.75	73.61
T ₅	Control	90.00	0.00
SE(m) ±		0.47	
C.D (P=0.01)		1.97	

Gurjar and Shekhawat (2012) were carried out similar study on *in vitro* efficacy of four bioagents against *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht and reported that, *Trichoderma harzianum* was found to be most effective treatment in checking mycelial growth of the pathogen. Similarly, Patel *et al.* (2023) evaluated *in vitro* efficacy of six different bioagents by dual culture method against the *F. solani*, and reported that, *Trichoderma harzianum* was found most effective treatment in inhibiting mycelial growth of the pathogen. Sharma *et al.* (2022) also evaluated *in vitro* efficacy of bioagents against *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber by using dual culture technique and reported that, *Trichoderma harzianum* was found most effective with 61.08% inhibition of mycelial growth.

Fig.2: In vitro efficacy of bioagent against the *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *niveum*





Plate II: In vitro efficacy of bioagents against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum*.

Conclusion

Among the different fungicides evaluated *in vitro*, Carbendazim 50% WP (0.1 and 0.2% concentration) and Carbendazim 12% + Mancozeb 63% (0.1 and 0.2% concentration) were inhibiting mycelial growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* completely. Similarly, among the bioagents *T. harzianum* was most effective in inhibiting *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* with 75.00% inhibition followed by *T. longibrachiatum* 69.44%.

References

- Dennis, K. L. and J. Webster, 1971. Antagonistic properties of species group of *Trichoderma* and hyphal interaction. *Trans. British Mycol. Soc.* **57**: 363- 396.
- Egel, D. S. and Martyn, R. D. 2024. Symptoms and Signs. *Phytopathology News*.
- Gurjar, M. S., and Shekhawat, K. S. 2012. Management of wilt of muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L.) incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht. *Progressive Agriculture*, **12**(1): 132-137.
- Madhavi, G. B. and Bhattiprolu, S. L. 2011. Evaluation of fungicides, soil amendment practices and bioagents against *Fusarium solani*-causal agent of wilt disease in chilli. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, **6**(2): 141-144.
- Nene, Y. L. and Thapliyal, R.N. 1993. Evaluation of fungicides. In fungicides for plant disease control (3rd ed.). Oxford, IBH Pub. Co. New Delhi. 331.
- Paris, H. S. 2015. Origin and emergence of the sweet dessert watermelon, *Citrullus lanatus*. *Annals of botany*, **116**(2), 133-148.
- Parmar, H.A. 2014. Management of watermelon wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum*). *M. Sc. (Agriculture) Thesis* submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Junagadh Agriculture University Gujrat.
- Patel, P. R., Chawda, S. K. and Patel, P. M. 2023. Bio-efficacy of different bio-control agents (BCA) against wilt (*Fusarium solani*) of pointed gourd *in vitro*.
- Rahman, M. Z., Ahmad, K., Bashir Kutawa, A., Siddiqui, Y., Saad, N., Geok Hun, T. and Hossain, M. I. 2021. Biology, diversity, detection and management of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *niveum* causing vascular wilt disease of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*): a review. *Agronomy*, **11**(7): 1310.
- Sharma, D., Shukla, A. and Gupta, M. 2022. Evaluation of Bio-Control Agents against *Fusarium* Wilt of Cucumber. *International Journal of Economic Plants*, **9**(4): 334-339.
- Strauss, M. 2015. The 5,000-year secret history of the watermelon. *National Geographic Society*.

Vincent, J. M., 1947. Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors. *Nature*: 159-180.

UNDER PEER REVIEW