

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_124208
Title of the Manuscript:	Materialistic Progress and the Decline of Indigenous Identity: A Postcolonial Critique of Orijit Sen's The River of Stories
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article presents several inconsistencies. The theoretical framework requires significant improvement, as evidenced by the limited bibliography. Several key concepts are not adequately introduced, hindering clarity. Additionally, the inclusion of concrete examples is essential for readers less familiar with the graphic novel. If the article seeks to achieve an international reach, a more comprehensive contextualization of Indian society is required.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is quite comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Materialistic progress is a central theme in the article; however, the concept remains undefined. Similarly, the role of urbanization appears to be significant, yet no relevant literature from urban studies is cited. The importance of graphic novels is underscored by a single reference (Chute), which is insufficient for a topic presented as a key argument in the dissertation. The same can be said about indigenous people. A broader range of sources is necessary to substantiate this claim.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The bibliography requires substantial enhancement, as the inclusion of only seven references is insufficient. A greater number of citations is necessary to meet the expected academic standards of quality.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In my view, the article requires major changes before it can be considered for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romero Santos, Ruben
Department, University & Country	Universidad Carlos III De Madrid, Spain