

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_125315
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RESPONSE OF INORGANIC AND ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF CLUSTER BEAN [ <i>cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> (L.) Taub.] IN THE VILLAGE CHIKKENAHALLI OF CHALLAKERE TALUK, CHITRADURAGA DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Organic + inorganic fertilizer research is important for sustainability. Use of chicken manure and different inorganic fertilizers is important Using a vegetable other than legumes would be more accurate for the effectiveness of the fertilizers used	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	No need for local area definition  THE RESPONSE OF INORGANIC AND ORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF CLUSTER BEAN [ <i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> (L.) Taub.]	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Enough	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Appropriate	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Farm manure, chicken manure contents should be given. Jeevamruta must be identified T1 Treatments assessment should be performed	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Enough	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Appropriate	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	After clarifying the highlighted issues, it was considered appropriate to publish the article.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I am totally agree with the reviewers comments and I have done the corrections as per the comments. However Statistical analysis cannot be done for the present result as data is very less and done for the short period.

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Serdar Polat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Namık Kemal University Turkiye</b>