

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_121912
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of plant growth retardants and detopping on growth parameters in soybean (Glycine max L. Merrill)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>There is no doubt that the research is important and vital and serves the scientific community and its importance in increasing the production of soybean that is very most important crop in world, that may industry depend on it.</p> <p>This study was carried out with the main objective of studying the effect of different concentrations of chlormyquat, baclobutrazole and removing the cap on the physiological morphological parameters. Soybean The field experiment was conducted scientifically according to what is followed in conducting scientific research, and appropriate coefficients and an appropriate number of repeaters were selected with appropriate statistical design, data collection and analysis, and the researcher may obtain logical and scientifically acceptable results.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article suitable and reflect the contain of the research in good manner</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract in general is good but did not clearly reflect the results obtained by the researcher</p> <p>The abstract was written in the scientific, the results of the research must be mentioned specifically and according to the order of the results accurately</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Ssubsections and structure of the manuscript are good and appropriate</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript from a scientific point of view is correct because the researcher followed the scientific approach of the) research problem, research hypotheses, the collection of data, data analysis, the presentation of the results and cite some previous studies). The manuscript is good because it dealt with an important and vital topic, to study the effect of different concentrations of chlormyquat, baclobutrazole and decap removal on the morphophysiological parameters of soybeans, the field experiment was conducted scientifically.</p> <p>the researcher has obtained good results</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are sufficient and update and the researcher has cited many references and I think they are sufficient and have covered the subject of the research very well as the researcher also cited previous studies to support the results obtained in an excellent way</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the manuscript is good suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The objectives are not clear and the researcher must write the objectives clearly at the end of the introduction - The manuscript is good and written in a professional scientific way. - The researcher has made a great effort in that, especially in presenting the results and discussion, citing a large number of previous studies to support the results obtained 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaker Babiker Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan