

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_123303
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON POP CORN (Zea mays L.) PRODUCTION AND SOIL PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	While it doesn't introduce new innovations, it justifies existing findings under different locations and soil conditions. This contributes by providing additional evidence for integrated nutrient management approaches	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Improvements are needed, as the manuscript does not include data on soil physical property improvements. The title should either exclude references to these properties or include study results related to them. A more appropriate title could be: 'Integrated Use of Inorganic and Poultry Manure as Organic Inputs to Improve Maize Productivity and Major Soil Physicochemical Properties.'	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract also need some improvement as suggested on the manuscript. Some abbreviations used in this part should be managed. And it should be a lined with the major result or finding.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study's results align with existing scientific justifications, with the provided evidence which making it technically sound	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Also, some parts need strengthening by providing additional citations it looks sufficiently referenced with the recent citations.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, its easily communicable	
Optional/General comments	With the above-mentioned comments and the inclusion of essential aspects, such as an economic analysis, the manuscript can be enriched and provide valuable information to the scientific community.	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matiyas Dejene
Department, University & Country	EIAR, Ethiopia