

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_123303</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF ORGANIC AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON POP CORN (Zea mays L.) PRODUCTION AND SOIL PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript talks about improving yields and soil condition in which popcorn is grown. Popcorn is an important food nutrition and food security agricultural commodity especially in the developing world. Therefore, any work like this one that seeks to improve popcorn production and productivity is critically important and very much welcome within the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is very suitable and non-speculative.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough both as a summary for other researchers but also and more so for students and early career researchers to learn and emulate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections as presented are standard material and very appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust. Although written in a very simple way i.e. language –wise, the scientific expressions given are correct, technically sound and have a marketing tone in favour of adopting the combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers to nourish the soil, improve soil pH, soil organic C, and the needed micronutrients N, P, k, P, and Na.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate, recent and traceable.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I enjoyed reading this manuscript which is very practical and has a very practical and relevant message to policy makers, agricultural practitioners and farmers alike.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Irvin DT Mpofo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chinhoyi University of Technology, Zimbabwe</b>