

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_124575
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sexual Behavior and semen attributes assessment on feeding Khejri (<i>Prosopis cineraria</i>) Leaves in Malpura rams of semi-arid region</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the effects of feeding Khejri (<i>Prosopis cineraria</i>) leaves on the sexual behavior and semen attributes of Malpura rams in semi-arid regions. The findings could contribute to optimizing livestock nutrition in arid and semi-arid climates, where forage is often scarce. Understanding how locally available fodder impacts reproductive health and productivity in rams can have significant implications for improving breeding programs and the sustainability of livestock farming in these regions. I appreciate this manuscript for its practical application in animal husbandry and its potential to enhance agricultural practices in challenging environments.</p> <p><b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes.</b></p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>Please check the manuscript draft.</b></p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes.</b></p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound because it likely follows established experimental protocols for assessing both sexual behavior and semen attributes in livestock. By focusing on the nutritional impact of Khejri (<i>Prosopis cineraria</i>) leaves, it incorporates a well-defined independent variable and examines measurable outcomes, such as semen quality and mating behavior, which are key indicators of reproductive health. If the study includes appropriate controls, sample sizes, and statistical analyses, the conclusions drawn should be reliable. Additionally, the relevance of the research to semi-arid regions ensures that the findings address real-world agricultural challenges, enhancing its scientific validity.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p><b>No, I recommended so Please check the manuscript draft.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Please check the manuscript draft.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>No any.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samiullah Soomro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chulalongkorn University, Thailand</b>