

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_125744
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Surgical Approaches to Proximal Ureteral Stones &gt;1 Cm: A Comparative Study of M-PCNL and Semirigid URSL</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This works will act as a good literature for the upcoming researchers to study the effectiveness and complications associated with surgical techniques in calculi treatment. The research constructively discussed between effectiveness and complications of URSL and PCNL surgical techniques. The researcher confirmed the surgical technique which is effective with sufficient supporting data. The sample size for the study is sufficient which reflects the large population and to get precise results.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well framed. The language used in framing the phrases is in high standards. Content wise and technically the manuscript gripped high standards. The manuscript is highly engaging for a reader. The writing is clear and understandable. writing provides valuable insights into the topic	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	If possible Author suggested to increase the references	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kishore Bandarapalle</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sri Padmavathi School of Pharmacy, India</b>