

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_125419
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparison Between Effectiveness of Intravenous Magnesium Sulphate and Dexmedetomidine on Attenuation of Haemodynamic Stress Response to Laryngoscopy and Endotracheal Intubation: A Randomised Control Trail
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Article focuses on utility of two drugs in suppressing hemodynamic response during intubation, which can be detrimental in few group of patient though the study is done on normal pateints only. Correction required: Author has mentioned complications that can occur during study and even in results incidence of bradycardia and hypotension is mentioned but author has not documented methods of dealing with these complications, which is unethical. In statistical analysis since sample size is 40 patient in each group only chi square test must have used for analysis of categorical data but author has mentioned both chi square and fischer exact test , which is wrong Discussion needs to be more crisp i.e language need to be revised</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Article is scientifically correct though language of the article can be more crisp</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Refrence should be of more recent years , there are just 4 references of 2020-2021 and none latest than that.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs revision</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhawana Rastogi
Department, University & Country	Swami Vivekananda Subharti University, India