

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125711
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Path Analysis of Grit, Self-Efficacy, Self-Regulation, and Science Academic Performance in Online Learning</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research offers valuable and substantial insights into the relationship between grit, self-efficacy, self-regulation, and science academic performance in online learning.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the subject. Nevertheless, the abstract would benefit from the inclusion of the problem statements justifying the necessity of this study, all research objectives articulated in the research questions, and a concise explanation of the research's importance.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite appropriate. However, the manuscript must incorporate a literature review section to enhance comprehension of the issue and establish a connection with the discussion section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. However, the manuscript must incorporate a literature review section to enhance comprehension of the issue and establish a connection with the discussion section.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Several citations within the manuscript failed to conform to the journal's citation format. The references list has 29 entries. However, just 28 references have been cited in the text. The authors ought to provide more recent citations, ideally from the last four years (2021-2024).	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>The English quality of the article is quite suitable for scholarly communications.</b></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><b>ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b>1. Literature review section</b></p> <p>a. The authors are required to incorporate prior research on the following to address the research objectives and bolster the argument presented in the discussion section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. relationships between grit and Science academic performance</li> <li>ii. relationships between self-efficacy and Science academic performance</li> <li>iii. relationships between self-regulation and Science academic performance</li> </ul> <p><b>2. Methodology section</b></p> <p>a) This section is thorough. Nonetheless, the authors must elucidate the rationale for using random sampling.</p> <p><b>3. Results and discussion section</b></p> <p>a) The results were presented and interpreted in a manner that was appropriate. Nevertheless, the authors are obligated to provide an explanation for the potential cause of the negative direct influence of persistence on the Science academic performance.</p> <p><b>4. Conclusion section</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. The authors need to include the limitations and the recommendations for future research of the study.</li> </ul> <p><b>5. References</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. References should be written according to the journal format and should match the references found in the text. The references list has 29 entries. However, just 28 references have been cited in the text. The authors ought to provide more recent citations, ideally from the last four years (2021-2024).</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Mohd Aidil Riduan Awang Kader</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b></p>