

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125014
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigative Reporting Trends in Bangladeshi Newspaper: Selected Print Media Coverage Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is quite relevant as it examines the role of the media in exposing shady deals in the society, particularly in Bangladeshi through their investigative reportage. Based on the indices and data presented in the study, the results of the study can be a contribution to the body of knowledge. Also, the study has discussed topical issues bothering on corruption, bad governance etc. which are some of the bane of development in Asian and African countries generally.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest that the topic be reframed as thus: "Investigative Reporting Trends in Bangladeshi: A Content Analysis of Select Newspapers"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is Ok. However, the sampling technique that was used to arrive at the sample size was not stated. Also, there are no keywords in the abstract. Keywords need to be included.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and the structure of the manuscript needs to be re-arranged. Also, additional subsections needs to be added to make it exhaustive. Most of the observations about the structure are stated on the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As stated above in the first comment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are not quite sufficient and recent. Some references dates back to 1990's which may not be too good for the study. Hence, there is a need for the author to consult and cite recent studies/researches to buttress his/her argument or claims. Studies conducted within the past 5 to 10 years may suffice. Furthermore, APA style of referencing 7 th edition is recommended for the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language/English is fair. However, there is a need for the author to check some of his/her constructions. Services of a linguist/English expert may be required in this regard.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesse Ishaku
Department, University & Country	Taraba State University, Nigeria