

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125014
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigative Reporting Trends in Bangladeshi Newspaper: Selected Print Media Coverage Analysis
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Strengths:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Relevant and Timely Topic: The article addresses a very relevant and timely issue—investigative journalism—and its importance in promoting transparency and accountability. By focusing on Bangladesh, the article provides valuable insights into the challenges faced by journalists in developing countries where press freedom is often restricted.2. Solid Theoretical Framework: The use of social responsibility theory and agenda-setting theory gives the article a strong academic foundation. The connection between these theories and the analysis of investigative journalism helps to frame the discussion in a broader context, making the argument more robust.3. Contextual Relevance: The specific focus on Bangladesh, while providing global comparisons, enriches the content. The discussion of local media houses, press freedom, and political pressures within the country adds depth and makes the article relevant to readers interested in South Asian media studies.4. Empirical Data: The inclusion of data from newspaper content analysis adds an empirical layer to the article. This strengthens the claims made and offers a concrete basis for the argument.5. Global and Comparative Perspective: The article effectively contrasts Bangladesh’s situation with the global media landscape, particularly when examining China, Pakistan, and Western nations. This comparative approach adds an international dimension to the analysis, providing readers with a well-rounded perspective. <p>Areas Need to be Improve before Publication:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Methodological Transparency: While the use of content analysis is mentioned, the article lacks detailed explanations about the methodology. A clearer description of how the data were collected, coded, and analyzed would add to the credibility of the research.2. Language and Flow: Ensuring smooth transitions between sections would improve the overall flow of the article.3. Policy Recommendations: The article touches on challenges but could delve deeper into practical solutions for supporting investigative journalism in Bangladesh. Offering policy recommendations or strategies for media outlets, government bodies, and NGOs would increase the article’s practical relevance. <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>Overall, this article makes a valuable contribution to media studies and the understanding of investigative journalism in Bangladesh. With some revisions—particularly in terms of clarifying key concepts, avoiding repetition, and expanding the methodological discussion—the article could become a more authoritative and influential piece. Additionally, suggesting concrete solutions or future research directions would further enhance its impact.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debastuti Dasgupta
Department, University & Country	Asutosh College, India