

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_125526
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A comparative analysis of Accounting Ethics Between Private and Public Organizations: A Case Study of Morogoro Municipality</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The topic of this manuscript is highly recommended as ethics must be better understood in modern days accounting system. The researcher has made effort to collect data from respondents who undertake accounting, auditing and management jobs. The research gap identified is so specific to the geographical area of Tanzania. The author can try to develop a conceptual framework to illustrate the research objectives and gap.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, the title goes very well with the contents.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The length of the abstract can be reduced and clubbed into one single paragraph.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, subsections are titled correctly and matches with the content.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The research can try to add demographic questions in analysis, also finding correlation between variables would add value to the manuscript. The survey has been conducted to collect only seven points which is analysed using t-test alone does not make the manuscript strong in the data analysis segment. As t-test is used for the comparison of means between two groups, helping to determine if significant differences exist or not, no other inferences can be arrived at.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Sufficient citations are given by the author	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Spelling and grammatical errors need to be checked for again.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	If few more analytical tools are added, the manuscript will add more value to the literature and find ways to bridge the research gap. <b>Minor revision in the findings segment is suggested. Adding few more data analysis techniques will strengthen the manuscript.</b>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nithya Ramachandran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Oman</b>