

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_125488
Title of the Manuscript:	Simple crossover stenting for the left main bifurcation lesions in patients with acute coronary syndrome – A case series
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is effective as it shows the procedure that helps in high-risk ACS patients. However, can think of adding how PS approach can help future larger studies	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is, indeed. However adding results and follow-up data could make it more thorough.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The methodology section can be added in detail, especially stating how the PS technique was performed and if any specific protocol followed can be highlighted.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically sound and robust. But must add clearly stated follow-up intervals and what parameters were monitored during follow-up (e.g., symptom recurrence, stent patency, echocardiograms, etc.). Indicate whether patient comorbidities (such as diabetes or hypertension) affected the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Can add reviews related to ACS patients with LM bifurcation lesions.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Can remove repetitive sentences and the discussion section can be improvised.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript includes relevant figures, but a summary table comparing the six cases' outcomes could provide readers with quick insights. can add a research question or hypothesis. describe how myocardial adverse cardiac events were defined and recognised. It is necessary to include a description of the software and tools utilized for analysis. To illustrate important data points, such stent diameters, procedural success rates, and follow-up results, think about including visual summaries in the form of tables or graphs.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patricia Santosh Reddy
Department, University & Country	SSTCON, India