

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125825
Title of the Manuscript:	Oil Extraction and the Environment in Nigeria's Niger Delta: A Political-Industrial Ecology (PIE) Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>From an environmental perspective, the topic is very important for the scientific community and for the general population, since this work explores the interconnection of political, economic and environmental factors that contribute to the current crisis in the region. It also mentions the urgent need to carry out a comprehensive evaluation of the forces that drive environmental degradation, and also offers information on possible policy reforms aimed at achieving sustainable development in the region.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title of the work is appropriate</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>Yes, the information is complete.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the structure of the document is appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The document has the solidity of a scientific and technical work. However, in order to improve the quality of work, I recommend addressing the following observations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The introduction presents little information. It is recommended to describe in greater detail the state of the art of the subject.</li> <li>• Include the methodology used to select, discriminate and analyze the information collected.</li> <li>• The document lacks results and analysis of results.</li> <li>• Include a series of technological proposals to solve the problem.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>The references are sufficient and some of them are recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language and quality of the English of the article are adequate.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The work would have greater social and academic value if it included the current technical and technological approach that those involved in solving the problem are taking.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Julian Cruz Olivares</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous University of the State of Mexico, Mexico</b>