

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AIR_125317 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Survey, collection and In-vitro study of the stemphylium blight disease in onion caused by Stemphylium vasicarium |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Very important article for students and researcher mainly of plant pathology department. But the article may be improved giving some more recent references. Materials and Methods are not very clear. The author should write it showing detail methodology, detail procedure od data collection etc. However, the article is very important for agriculture. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The article is scientifically right. But few references present in MS are missing in bibliography section which should be corrected. Materials and methods may be more elaborative. Some grammatical mistakes may be corrected. Results section may be improved. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Some recent references may be included. The writing may be improved. Somehow not cleared about the content. | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Rupak Kumar Nath |
| Department, University & Country | SCS College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, India |