

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_125186
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence of Leukaemia and Coagulation Profile Assessment in Sickle Cell Patients at Sickle Cell Foundation, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study highlights the distinct haematological and coagulation profiles in SCD (sickle cell disease) patients compared to non-SCD controls. These findings contribute to a better understanding of SCD's haematological profile and emphasize the need for ongoing research and tailored management strategies for affected individuals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No it's not suitable as if you deeply go through the following research, Coagulation profile is completely dominating as compare to incidence of leukaemia so the suitable title is " <u>Assessment of coagulation profile and the incidence of leukaemia among sickle cell foundation, Lagos University teaching Hospital, Lagos.</u>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, according to the changed title coagulation profile should be prioritized on the place of incidences of leukemia	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, manuscript is scientifically robust as P Value and correlation are clearly mentioned	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	At least 40-45 References must be there and that too in Alphabetically order.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Future research aspects should have been mentioned broadly	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Garima Singh
Department, University & Country	G. D. Goenka University, India