

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_125176
Title of the Manuscript:	Content of Antioxidants in Mature and Immature Fruiting Bodies of Puff ball - Bovista plumbea Pers.
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	1. The objective of this study is to be quantitative study of some antioxidants in mushroom Bovista plumbea Pers. (common name puff ball), and concluded that the wild-grown basidiomycete <i>B. plumbea</i> is rich in secondary metabolites with antioxidant properties and can be recommended as an antioxidant-rich and healthy edible mushroom.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, after making minor corrections	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	I think that the study achieved the goal as the experiment included sufficient criteria to obtain the results that were discussed correctly. The study showed the wild-grown basidiomycete <i>B. plumbea</i> is rich in secondary metabolites with antioxidant properties	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The conclusion is brief. It would be better to emphasize the impact and role of the results. 2. Figures should be better clarified. 3. I think that the discussion needs more explanations of the result obtained.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dhia Ahmed Taain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq</b>