

Review Form 3

JournalName:	ArchivesofCurrentResearchInternational
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ACRI_125329
TitleoftheManuscript:	ArtificialIntelligenceandGlobalSecurity:StrengtheningInternationalCooperationandDiplomaticRelations.
TypeoftheArticle	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that a author should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The literature review should be comprehensive, relevant, and effectively contextualize the study within existing research. Ensure gaps are identified and that the rationale for the research questions or hypotheses is clearly justified.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Assess the originality and contribution of the study to the field. Discuss whether the findings advance knowledge, introduce new methodologies, or offer practical applications that are significant and relevant.	
Are there references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>Review comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The objectives of the study should be clearly articulated in the introduction to guide readers on the purpose and significance of the research. <input type="checkbox"/> The literature review should be comprehensive, relevant, and effectively contextualize the study within existing research. Ensure gaps are identified and that the rationale for the research questions or hypotheses is clearly justified. <input type="checkbox"/> Evaluate the clarity and appropriateness of the methodology. Consider whether the study design, sampling methods, data collection procedures, and analytical techniques are sufficiently detailed and suitable for addressing the research aims. <input type="checkbox"/> Assess the rigor of the data analysis methods employed. Ensure that results are clearly presented, appropriately analyzed, and accurately interpreted in relation to the research questions or hypotheses. <input type="checkbox"/> Evaluate the depth and coherence of the discussion section. Discuss whether the findings are effectively addressed in light of the literature, and if implications and limitations are also adequately addressed. 	

Review Form 3

	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assess the originality and contribution of the study to the field. Discuss whether the findings advance knowledge, introduce new methodologies, or offer practical applications that are significant and relevant.</p> <p>Decision: Major revisions</p>	
--	---	--

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shankha Shubhra Goswami
Department, University & Country	Abacus Institute of Engineering and Management, India