

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125306
Title of the Manuscript:	Micropropagation of Aquascaping Plants: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Micropropagation is an invaluable tool for aquascaping enthusiasts and commercial growers alike, enhancing both creativity and sustainability in aquatic gardening. Rare or specialized aquascaping plants can be propagated without the need for extensive wild harvesting, supporting biodiversity and ecological balance	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "Micropropagation of Aquascaping Plants: A Review" seems scientifically robust. It addresses a clear gap in the literature by focusing on in vitro propagation methods, which are crucial for aquascaping plants that are often slow growers and difficult to propagate naturally. The application of micropropagation is technically sound, as it offers an efficient and controlled approach to plant propagation. Furthermore, the manuscript likely draws upon established principles of plant tissue culture, ensuring relevance and scientific validity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable	
Optional/General comments	--	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Shri Vijaya Kirubha
Department, University & Country	The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, India