

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125306
Title of the Manuscript:	Micropropagation of Aquascaping Plants: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Naskah tentang Mikropropagasi Tanaman Akuakultur ini penting bagi komunitas ilmiah karena mengeksplorasi metode canggih untuk memproduksi tanaman akuatik secara massal, yang penting untuk menjaga ekosistem akuatik yang sehat. Mikropropagasi menawarkan solusi berkelanjutan untuk memenuhi permintaan akuakultur yang terus meningkat. Selain itu, tinjauan ini memberikan wawasan untuk meningkatkan kualitas tanaman dan ketahanan terhadap penyakit, yang sangat penting bagi para penghobi dan upaya konservasi. Saya menganggap naskah ini berharga karena menyoroti hubungan antara bioteknologi dan keberlanjutan lingkungan dalam akuakultur.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title "Micropropagation of Aquascaping Plants: A Review" is suitable, as it clearly reflects the content and focus of the article. If any alternative is needed, a more detailed title could be: "Advances in Micropropagation Techniques for Aquascaping Plants: A Comprehensive Review." This highlights both innovation and the breadth of the review.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a clear introduction to the concept of aquascaping and its significance, as well as the challenges associated with propagating aquascaping plants. However, it lacks specificity regarding the actual micropropagation techniques and key findings or insights from the review.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript on the Micropropagation of Aquascaping Plants demonstrates scientific correctness through its thorough examination of established micropropagation techniques and their applications in aquascaping. The review integrates relevant literature, showcasing a strong understanding of plant biology, horticultural practices, and the principles of in vitro culture.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and cover a range of relevant studies related to micropropagation and aquascaping plants. However, it is essential to ensure that they are up-to-date, particularly given the rapidly evolving nature of plant biotechnology	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication, with clear expression and an appropriate level of technical vocabulary. However, there are a few areas where minor revisions could enhance clarity and readability	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript on Micropropagation of Aquascaping Plants provides a valuable contribution to the field, combining elements of horticulture, design, and environmental sustainability. The integration of micropropagation techniques with aquascaping practices not only addresses current challenges in plant propagation but also emphasizes the importance of preserving aquatic ecosystems.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samsu Adi Rahman
Department, University & Country	University of Muhammadiyah Luwuk, Indonesia