

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125306
Title of the Manuscript:	Micropropagation of Aquascaping Plants: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Aquascaping is an art between horticulture and landscaping. Techniques about how to propagate aquatic species and how to acclimatize is an important issue. In this way, the current paper brings novelty for the scientific community and for aquatic plants producers and also buyers. I like the subject before I read this article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	For a review paper, yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Regarding the scientific correctness, I can tell you that the References list is the best answer. The author (s) used I consistent number of articles to create a well explained scientific articles. The references are adequate for this kind of papers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Are sufficient, yes. Well, a part of references are old, but this shows that interest for this subject is for more than 15-20 year.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not able to qualify the language.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Buta Erzsebet
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania