

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_123988
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Different Nutrient Combination on the Performance of Maize (Zea mays L) in North Indian Plains
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study will help in the use of integrated management practices in agricultural. This will help the local farmers in increasing yield/productivity in the face of high cost of fertilizers. It will also aid in soil amendment practices that will have little/no harm to health/life.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes,the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think that the statistical tool used in the comparison should be stated.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The researcher should have included results and discussions on the physico-chemical properties of the soil which will help in relating with the yield/productivity.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript stated the treatments used and their respective quantities. It also itemized the depth of soil sampling and the design used for the analysis. Importantly, the treatments were laid out randomly which will limit bias.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The researcher should use past tenses like in the first sentence under materials and methods since the research has been carried out and correctly spell some words: result not result.	
Optional/General comments	I commend the researcher for this manuscript . May I suggest that the statistical tool for the comparison be stated; he should also state the tool used in soil sampling: core or auger. In his results and discussions under dry matter and number of leaves, there's no reference to support or counter his result. He should have a reference there.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mgbeahuru, Chibuihem Izuchukwu
Department, University & Country	Nigeria