

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_125007
Title of the Manuscript:	Innovations in Advanced Drug Delivery Devices: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This publication is significant for the scientific community since it offers a thorough analysis of the recent advancements in advanced drug delivery systems, a quickly developing area. It emphasizes the substantial advancements achieved in targeted and precise delivery techniques, which are essential for enhancing patient outcomes and reducing unwanted effects. I appreciate this work for emphasizing the importance of continual innovation, particularly through its emphasis on the variety of approaches and technological advances. This innovative approach is crucial for confronting present difficulties and facilitating the development of personalized and more effective therapies.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The following title would be more appropriate for the topic of this manuscript.</p> <p>"Innovations in Drug Delivery: From Microtechnology to Targeted Therapeutics" or "Advances in Drug Delivery Technologies: Unlocking Precision and Efficiency"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract comprehensively describes the principal advances and benefits associated with advanced drug delivery systems. Nevertheless, including a brief understanding of the challenges and restrictions in the industry would provide a more comprehensive view. Highlighting issues such as manufacturing complexity, regulatory restrictions, and biological barriers will enhance the abstract and provide readers with a better understanding of both the potential and drawbacks of these technologies. Incorporating this would enhance the abstract's accuracy and clarity.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The work is offering a comprehensive review of the concepts, processes, and applications of advanced drug delivery systems. It is based on current research and properly represents advancements in this area, utilizing existing technologies like targeted and localized delivery approaches. It confirms the focus on enhancing bioavailability and patient adherence via these systems, as it aligns with the established difficulties associated with conventional drug delivery approaches. The paper combines the promise of these innovations with the understanding of current limitations, thus improving its technical integrity.</p> <p>The author should make the necessary correction by providing a brief overview of the challenges and limitations in the field. By addressing issues like manufacturing complexities, regulatory restrictions, and biological barriers, the manuscript now presents a more comprehensive view of advanced drug delivery technologies. This addition improves the manuscript's accuracy and clarity, giving readers a better understanding of both the potential benefits and the drawbacks of these systems.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	---	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sheetal Sandip Buddhadev
Department, University & Country	Noble University, India