

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_124606
Title of the Manuscript:	Chest Radiograph Findings Among Patients in a Tertiary Hospital in Rivers State: A Comparative Two-Year Retrospective Study
Type of the Article	Research Paper

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is an interesting one. However, originality of work is marginal. A number of facts can be found and added in order to make it a good contribution to the field. The authors have drafted the manuscript quite carefully allowing them to share the facts related to a developing country. It would be helpful to share original ideas and new discoveries with the scientific community as well as to the general population.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is provided in a structured manner. It is fine.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. They need revisions. "Literature Review (LR)/Background" section is an integral part of any study; it is missing in this manuscript. The authors must add LR or Background study in it. In addition, limitations are stated within discussion, it should be new section. The authors have not concluded the study properly. This must be done.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is scientifically correct, as the subject under investigation is a developing country and the findings regarding chest radiographs can be helpful for understanding the facts of the Nigerian state, specifically the latest ones over the period of two years.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent, however, insufficient. Authors should add some more references. LR will help in this activity. Moreover, referencing style has no consistency. These all should follow same style in the references section.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical issues in the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hasna Arshad
Department, University & Country	HITEC University, Pakistan