

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_125307</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Land Configuration and Vermicompost on hardening status of Gaillardia pulchella under micro-climate conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<b>Gaillardia (<i>Gaillardia pulchella</i>), commonly known as the blanket flower and it is the important and remunerative flower crops. Optimizing its cultivation under protected condition requires an understanding of how different agricultural practices affect its growth and yield. This study focuses on two key factors: land configuration and vermicompost application which are crucial for today's contest. Therefore, such type of study is very important and informative for increase production and productivity as well as quality of flowers.</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is not sufficient enough. Improve the abstract portion adding findings of the result, experiment and conclusion also.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p><b>Not Appropriate add with some correction and suggestions</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Introduction:</b> Mention production and productivity data of current year or last year and improve this part with suggestions made in word file.</li> <li><b>2. Material and Methods:</b> Mention variety name and there source. Also mention the Stage or Date when were the treatments given. Also mention the characters which and when were recorded. Justify, whether Green house configuration component is used to create micro climate or not. If, yes then this factor is not used in study as well as in result and discussion. Please elaborate it. Mention the method and process for survival test.</li> <li><b>3. Result and Discussion:</b> Improve the content by proper justification and discussion for more clarification in all the sections. It includes only morphological characters viz., plant height, number of leaves, Number of new shoots and survival percentage only. But, in material portion they includes other observation also like diameter of stem (cm), floral attributes viz., flower stalk length (cm), diameter of flower (cm), number of petals. For yield attributes viz., root length, number of roots, yield per plot and total yield. These observations are missing in result and discussion. <b>Paper included only four observations and other linking observations are missing for flower yield and quality etc.</b></li> </ol>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In order to increase production this study may take part for benefit of farmer's community. But author mentioned only few observation in the research which leads to missing the other observations. Therefore, this study is not correct and complete.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	After made correction, suggestion and adding more observations and completion of review process again, this research article can be published.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Rajesh Kumar Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agriculture University, India</b>