

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_125271
Title of the Manuscript:	Core Value Awareness: The Case of Grade 12 Students in a Philippine Public School
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides valuable insights into the level of awareness of core values among Grade 12 students in a public high school in the Philippines. It adds to the existing literature on values-based education, particularly within the context of the Department of Education's core values, which are crucial in shaping the ethical and moral foundations of students. I appreciate the focus on how demographic factors influence the students' awareness of core values, as this is a relevant issue in understanding how to integrate value-based learning effectively across diverse student populations.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title, "Core Value Awareness: The Case of Grade 12 Students in a Philippine Public School," is appropriate, as it clearly reflects the study's focus on value awareness among a specific group of students. However, a more specific title could be: "Awareness of DepEd Core Values Among Grade 12 Students in a Public High School in the Philippines." This would make the focus on the Department of Education's core values clearer.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, detailing the research design, methods, and key findings. However, the abstract could be improved by providing more information about the implications of the findings. It should briefly mention the theoretical framework (Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems) to give the reader a clearer understanding of the study's context. Additionally, the conclusion in the abstract could better highlight how these findings can be applied in educational policy and practice.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-structured, with appropriate subsections that help the reader navigate the content. The division of methodology, results, and discussion is clear and allows for an easy flow of information.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust due to its use of quantitative analysis and the application of inferential statistics (Mann-Whitney U Test, Kruskal-Wallis Test). The study's foundation in Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory adds theoretical depth. The methods are sound, the sample size is adequate, and the statistical tests are appropriate for the research questions. The findings offer significant contributions to the understanding of how demographics impact core value awareness among students, supporting the manuscript's reliability.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -</p>	<p>The references are sufficient, and many are recent. However, the manuscript could benefit from a few more citations on similar studies conducted in other countries or regions to provide a broader comparative perspective. No additional specific references are necessary, but expanding the discussion in this regard could strengthen the manuscript further.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, the English is appropriate for a scholarly audience, but editing for grammatical accuracy, sentence structure, and conciseness would improve its readability and flow.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Keerthana
Department, University & Country	Sri Sai Ram Engineering College, India