

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_125271
Title of the Manuscript:	Core Value Awareness: The Case of Grade 12 Students in a Philippine Public School
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Core values are integral part of one's personality. Therefore, it is critical that core values should be inculcated in mind of learners as early as possible. I like it that this important topic is under reaserch. Moreover, by measuring awareness about core values will demonstrate level of core values in learners.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Core Value Awareness: a descriptive study on Grade 12 Students in a Philippine Public School" a minor change will be better. By reading title, it should give an idea about objectives of study and some flavour of methodology.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. No change is required.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. Introduction is too lengthy. One Table is given methodology section. Resukts & discussion mixed, better these should be under separate heading. Conclsuion is too lengthy. It should be brief.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An important topic has been selected. 2. Methodology and results are fantastic except few problems that had been mentioned in above sections. 3. Results are rightly compared but needs to be under separate heading as mentioned above. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes references are sufficient and recent but are not properly written in Vancouver style.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Title changes needed as pointed out above. Results. Should not be mentioned in methodology section. Results and. discussion should be separated. Conclusion should be briefed.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishtiaq Ali Khan
Department, University & Country	Gajju Khan Medical College, Pakistan