

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_124330
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Manifestation And Management Of Cultural Heritage- An Analysis Of The Old Towns Of Kenyan Coastal Region</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- The author uses an interesting approach to highlight the subtle threat to material and immaterial culture. However, its not clear which cultural heritage ("indigenous" or "modern" is the subject of discussion, and who is concerned or owns it.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract meets the threshold.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	- Introduction, methodology, & literature review meets threshold-but need to summarize the definition-of cultural heritage. - Manuscript can be improved- the author must contextualize the owner of the cultural heritage. Who owns the values, the symbols...? - Recommendations are off key, -not supported in the discussion-its good to narrow to specifics.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The author needs to say something about Lamu. There is no convincing justification other than it being one of the oldest town. Let author use the same approach used for Mombasa. Other than the similarities-are the two study settings different in away?</li> <li>- If heritage belongs to host community, who is this actor that is supposed to devise better methods? If the host people are not involved, then the cultural heritage is for who? The county governments? If so, then is it fair to link the cultural heritage to the host community?</li> <li>- Curriculum is designed for every one, will other communities push for the same agenda? if so will this be feasible? The Ministry of Education through co-curricula activities-Music festival competitions attempts to promote cultural heritage across Kenya and even Africa, the author could look at the previous newsletter, which might help enrich the discussion. But then, pick out gaps that require recommendations.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Asborn Juma Misiko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dedan Kimathi University of Technology, Kenya</b>