

**Review Form 3**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>                  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JERR_124408   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Intelligent connected vehicle information security risks and countermeasures |
| Type of the Article      | Research article   |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment   | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | It's a timely topic but it's not comprehensive and does not address specific to the architectures.<br>A workflow diagram may be included.<br>Research directions may be revised to tailor-fit the addressed architecture.  |  |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Yes  |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes.<br>But more insight upon recent issues may be highlighted.  |  |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | Yes.<br>Yet, include workflow and more comprehension on references.  |  |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript is technically correct.<br>Yet the security aspect with respect to the addressed vehicular architecture is not discussed and is more generic.   |  |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | Yes<br>More recent references may be included.   |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | Yes<br>Vocabulary may be revised. Minor grammatical errors.  |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The article summarises existing attack methods and threats and provide possible challenges and research directions in the field.</li> <li>• General Title case typo errors for technical keywords must be sorted out.</li> <li>• There is neither a workflow nor a architectural setup for the manuscript. Kind request for inclusion to strengthen the quality.</li> <li>• The fonts are changed within the manuscript and minor justification issues to be sorted out.</li> <li>• Conclusion is too big and it can be shortened with few lines only.</li> </ul> Couple of more references can be provided to strengthen the manuscript. |  |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Terrance Frederick Fernandez</b>          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Saveetha School of Engineering, India</b> |