

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_121152
Title of the Manuscript:	Rule of Law, Regulatory Quality and investment Growth in Nigeria: An Impact Analysis
Type of the Article	Case Study

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article on using Error Correction Models (ECM) in Time Series analysis is of great importance to the scientific community. ECM is a valuable tool for analyzing non-stationary time series data and has applications in various fields such as economics, finance, and environmental studies. By understanding and utilizing ECM in time series analysis, researchers can improve their ability to model and forecast real-world phenomena, leading to better decision-making and policy formulation. And for this reason I like the article.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Title should be adjusted slightly as follows. "The Rule of Law, Regulatory Quality and investment Growth in Nigeria" The Rule..... insert the definite article in front of all of the word "rule of ..."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	-At the end of the introduction there is no discussion on how the paper is organised and to whom it will be helpful. -Under conceptual framework the concepts are absent. Moreover, there are no theoretical reviews. -Descriptive Statistics should be sent to section 4.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-There are enough sources to justify the findings of the paper. In addition the use of appropriate technique for data analysis makes the paper scientifically robust.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are enough. Some references in the reference list are not cited in the work and some citations have not been referenced. I have indicated in the word document where I have made some comments	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The paper is good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huboh Samuel Ringmu
Department, University & Country	The University of Bamenda, Cameroon