

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_125573
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield and quality of brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L. var. Pusa uttam) as influenced by organic manures and micronutrients
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Idea of study is proper. In this work, the authors proposed an interesting study in using Influence of organic manure and micronutrients on yield and quality of brinjal. The findings of this study suggested that the use of organic manure, vermicompost 6.6 t/ha and micronutrient Zn 0.5 % resulted in increased yield and quality of brinjal as compared to the control. Some details must be revised, but the work is supported by the results and the proper literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable, just I suggest rewrite it to be: Influence of organic manure and micronutrients on yield and quality of brinjal <i>Solanum melongena</i> L. var. Pusa uttam.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but it need to be more clear and exhibit more results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is well written, technically correct, and follows the expected article structure. Results obtained are relevant for the research field with an important contribution increase yield. The background provides support for the research question evaluated and the experimental design is correct. The results are relevant and obtained according to the expected experimental design with accuracy, supporting the conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The field of research is broad and diverse, and there are many recent studies in this field related to this study, so it prefer to add some recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The research contains all the necessary elements and is relevant to the topic in question.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamdi Shaaban Abd El-Karim
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt