

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124559
Title of the Manuscript:	Unlocking Potential: The Rise of Rice Fallow Pulses in Agricultural Innovation
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides deep insights into the significance of rice fallows pulses production in terms of increasing soil fertility, increasing food security, environmental sustainability, and providing additional source of income to the farmers. The regional analysis as well as other details pertaining to techniques and technologies for increasing productivity of pulses is extremely useful in today's environment of climate change and water stress.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract is adequate.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has done a scientific analysis of the various facets of rice fallows pulses cultivation pattern. It will help policy makers to analyse the cropping pattern in a particular region and promote the cultivation of those pulses following rice harvest which is suitable to their soil type and expected winter water availability. Considering the suitability of pulses to all types of weather conditions, this kind of innovation will be a big step in the direction of attaining food security and sustainability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	References are more than adequate.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. The language needs major revision	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The entire manuscript reads like a literature review. Perhaps it should be categorised as a bibliographic review of the topic rather than as a research paper. There are no Objectives, Scope, Methodology, or Data Analysis. The manuscript does not qualify to be called a research paper or even an article. It can be classified as a bibliographic analysis after adding more references for SWOT analysis.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhuma Sundar Raman
Department, University & Country	India