

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125092
Title of the Manuscript:	Combining Ability Studies for Yield and Yield Component Traits in Sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research article is very poor. So its not acceptable in the similar format. The selection of title is not bad but article contains critical mistakes..	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is ok but it can be modified.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, It needs a critical review again. The abstract is very large and described in points which is against the ethics of article writing. Rewrite the abstract using a simple and easy language in a single comprehensive paragraph which can be helpful to understand by other researchers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not really. A lot of mistakes writing style of the manuscript is very poor.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Rewrite the abstract. Further write the basic introduction which is directly related to selected title. Further add the tables with relative results described in discussion. Also check the reference styles.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Refereces are not sufficient. Add references of last 5 years. Mostly added references are very old. Further add more references at least 50.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing style is poor and language is also not appropriate. Use easy and appropriate language to make it understand to others.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Waqas Iqbal
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan