

# **Combining Ability Studies for Yield and Yield Component Traits in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)**

---

## **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** To assess the combining ability for seed yield and component traits in parents and hybrids in sunflower.

**Study design:** Randomized Block Design (RBD)

**Place and Duration of Study:** The study was carried out at the **Oilseeds Research Station, Latur**. During *kharif* 2023, crosses were produced and during *Rabi* 2023–24, evaluation was conducted.

**Methodology:** The experimental material comprises of six CMS lines *viz.*, DSF-2 A, CMS 148A, CMS 47A, CMS 597A, CMS 103A and CMS 112A and eight testers *viz.*, RHA-1055, EC-601951-1, EC 601924, R-274, LTRR-341, AKSF-15R, BK-R-1, R-856 and their 48 F<sub>1</sub>'s. During *Kharif*-2023, the six CMS lines were crossed with the eight restorers in a Line x Tester pattern, yielding an adequate number of crossed seeds. The 48 F<sub>1</sub> crosses along with their 14 parents and two checks *i.e.* LSFH-171 and KBSH- 44 were evaluated in Randomized Block Design with two replications at Oilseeds Research Station, Latur during *Rabi* 2023-24. Each entry was planted in a single row with a length of 4.5 meters. The distance between rows was 60 cm, whereas the distance between plants was 30 cm. All the recommended cultural and plant protection practices were followed for raising successful crop. The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants and the average value of these data was calculated for ten quantitative attributes. The analysis of combining ability (GCA and SCA) effect was carried out by using the Line x Tester analysis method suggested by Kempthorne (1957). The significance of GCA and SCA effects was determined at the 0.05 and 0.01 level using the t-test (Singh and Choudhary, 1977).

**Results:** All characters, with the exception of days to maturity, head diameter and volume weight had significant variance due to line effects. Except for days to maturity, all characters had substantial variance owing to tester effects. All characteristics had substantial significant variance due to line x tester effects. The existence of non-additive gene action for days to maturity, head diameter, seed filling, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant were recorded. The per cent contribution of testers towards total variance was greater than lines for all the characters except plant height. Among the parents, two lines CMS-597 A and CMS-47 A and four testers RHA-1055, EC-601924, R-274 and AKSF-15R were found to be superior general combiners for seed yield per plant and most of the yield contributing traits. Significant GCA effects were recorded for both oil content and seed yield per plant by line CMS-47 and testers EC-601924 and RHA-1055.

**Conclusion:** On the basis of mean performance, SCA effects of crosses and GCA effects of the parents, the crosses *viz.*, CMS-112 A x AKSF-15R, CMS-148 A x RHA-1055, CMS-597 A x R-856, CMS-47 A x R-274, CMS-597 A x LTRR-341, CMS-112 A x EC-601924 and CMS-597 A x EC-601951-1 recorded high SCA effect with low x high, low x high and high x high GCA status of parents for seed yield per plant. Among all the forty eight crosses; CMS-47 x EC-601924 exhibited significant SCA effect for seed filling percentage, 100 seed weight, hull content, oil content and seed yield per plant and the cross CMS-112 x EC-601951-1 showed significant

SCA effect for seed yield per plant and also found to have significant and desirable SCA effects for other important yield contributing traits like days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, head diameter, seed filling percentage, volume weight, hull content. This study revealed that the majority of crosses had strong positive SCA effects for numerous traits, showing a preponderance of non-additive gene activity, hence heterosis breeding should be encouraged for advancement of hybrids.

*Keywords: Sunflower, General Combining Ability, Specific Combining Ability, Heterosis, Hybrid, Gene action.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is one of the most important oilseed crops in the world and ranked third after soybean and rapeseed in the production of oil globally. Earlier it was domesticated as a food crop in North America but commercial cultivation of sunflower started in 1969 after its introduction from former USSR. Because of its light-yellow colour, pleasant flavour, high level of linoleic acid (55-60%), low oleic acid (25-30%), fairly high oil content of poly unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and absence of linolenic acid, it is considered as premium source of oil compared to the other vegetable oils (Atlagic, 1996). It contains vitamin K and E (Anonymous, 2021). During the year 2020-21 area under sunflower cultivation in India was 218.18 lakh ha with production of 365.65 lakh tonnes and productivity of 1269 kg/ha. In Maharashtra area under sunflower cultivation was 0.02 million ha with production of about 0.01 million tonnes and average productivity of 507 kg/ha. (Anonymous, 2021). With the increase in demand for edible oils, there is need to develop new sunflower hybrids suited to different agro-climatic zones of Maharashtra with improved seed yield and oil content.

In view of the above facts, the present study was undertaken to estimate general combining ability and specific combining ability for seed yield and yield contributing characters. This study uses eight fertility restorer lines and six cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) lines to assess combining ability in sunflower hybrids.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Six CMS lines *viz.*, DSF-2 A, CMS-148 A, CMS-47 A, CMS-597 A, CMS-103 A, CMS-112 A and eight restorer lines *viz.*, RHA-1055, EC-601951-1, EC-601924, R-274, LTRR-341, AKSF-15R, BK-R-1, R-856 were planted during *Kharif-2023* at Oilseeds Research Station, Latur and crossing was performed in Line x Tester fashion to produce 48 hybrids. During evaluation, the 48 hybrids along with their parents and two standard checks *viz.*, LSFH-171 and KBSH-44 were evaluated in a Randomized Block Design replicated twice during *Rabi 2023-2024*. Observations were recorded for ten quantitative characters in each entry on randomly selected five plants *viz.* plant height (cm), head diameter (cm), seed filling (%), 100 seed weight, volume weight (g/100 ml), hull content (%) oil content (%) and seed yield per plant (g) except days to 50 per cent flowering and days to maturity which were recorded on plot basis.

Data obtained were subjected to Line x Tester analysis (Kempthorne, 1957). The analysis of combining ability (GCA and SCA) effect was carried out by using the Line x Tester analysis method suggested by Kempthorne (1957). The significance of GCA and SCA effects was determined at the 0.05 and 0.01 level using the t-test (Singh and Choudhary, 1977).

## 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, head diameter, seed filling, 100 seed weight, volume weight, hull content, oil content and seed yield per plant were the ten traits for which the variance resulting from crosses was noteworthy (Table 1). All characters, with the exception of days to maturity, head diameter and volume weight had significant variance due to line effects. Except for days to maturity, all characters had substantial variance owing to tester effects. All characteristics had substantial significant variance due to line x tester effects.

The variance components estimate showed that, for every character except days to maturity, head diameter, seed filling percentage, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant, the SCA variance was smaller than the corresponding GCA variance. When the GCA/SCA ratio is less than unity, it indicates that the genes controlling these traits were behaving non additively, which can be taken advantage through heterosis breeding. Days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, volume weight, hull content and oil content were found to have GCA variance greater than SCA variance and the ratio of GCA/SCA greater than unity indicated that additive gene action played a significant role in inheritance of these traits. Therefore, pedigree breeding can be used to improve inbreds with the desired direction.

Proportional contribution of lines, testers and line x testers of crosses revealed that the per cent contribution of testers towards total variance was greater than lines for all the characters except plant height (Table 2). The results were in accordance with Varalaxmi and Neelima (2019) for plant height and by Shinde *et al.* (2016) for all remaining characters. The proportional contribution of line x tester interaction was found to be greater than lines and testers for days to maturity and head diameter, indicating that interaction of genes played a major role in hybrid combination for expression of these traits. The present results were in consonance with the findings of Tyagi and Dhillon (2016) and Shinde *et al.* (2016).

A sequence of hybrid combinations consisting of the combination of parental lines is reflected in an estimate of GCA impacts. Character development is most influenced by parents with higher GCA effects. Table 3 illustrate the parental lines exhibiting a considerable or desirable GCA effect for different traits. A review of the GCA estimates of the parental lines showed that the lines DSF-2 A, CMS-47 A and the testers RHA-1055, R-274 and EC-601924 showed a substantial negative GCA effect for days to 50 per cent flowering. These lines are thought to be beneficial for early flowering in breeding programmes. Similarly, there were notable favourable GCA impacts for maturity in line CMS-103 A and testers RHA-1055. Similar reports of GCA effects for days to 50 per cent blooming and maturity were also reported earlier by Dhanlakshami *et al.* (2022) and Ramraju *et al.* (2021).

Medium tall genotypes combined with the negative GCA effect may be helpful for increasing yield since medium tall height is desired for obtaining a greater yield. Line DSF-2 A, CMS-103 A and testers R-274 and BK-R-1 to have significant GCA effect turned out to be better combiners for reduced plant height. Expression of Significant GCA in suitable direction was observed for plant height (Farrokhi *et al.* 2008).

For head diameter, testers EC-601924 and AKSF-15R as well as line CMS-597 A recorded the desired positive GCA effect. For the percentage of seed filling, the GCA effect was found to be positive by line CMS-47 A, CMS-597 A and substantial by testers EC-601924, and AKSF-15R. The following lines were determined to be effective combiners for 100 seed weight: CMS-47 A, CMS-597 A, CMS-112 A and testers RHA-1055, EC-601951-1, EC-601924 and AKSF-15R. The testers RHA-1055, EC-601924, R-274 and CMS-148 A and CMS-47 A were determined to be superior general combiners for volume weight. For comparable features, these results were consistent with past studies by Patil *et al.* (2012), Shinde *et al.* (2016), and Telangre *et al.* (2019).

In terms of hull content, line CMS-47 A and testers RHA-1055, EC-601924, and R-274 shown notable favourable GCA impacts and have the potential to be effective combiners. Good combiners for hull content and oil content were mentioned by Kaya *et al.* (2004) and Asif *et al.* (2013).

Good general combiners for the trait oil content were found to be the parental lines CMS-47 A, CMS-103 A, RHA-1055, EC-601924, R-274, and AKSF-15R. The testers RHA-1055, EC-601924, R-274

and AKSF-15R, as well as the lines CMS-597 A and CMS-47 A, were determined to be superior general combiners for seed yield per plant. Significant GCA effects were found for both oil content and seed output per plant using the CMS-47 and tester EC-601924, RHA-1055. Good combiners for oil content and seed output per plant were identified in earlier studies by Shinde *et al.* (2016).

Table 4a and 4b summarizes the specific combining ability effects of hybrids for various characters. According to Kulkarni and Supriya (2017), the hybrids that show significantly unfavourable SCA effects for days to flowering, maturity and plant height also contribute favourable additive genes for earliness and shorter plant height. For days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height and hull content in sunflowers, negative SCA effects are thought to be advantageous. For days to 50 per cent flowering, the crosses CMS-112 x RHA-1055, CMS-103 x R-856, CMS-47 x RHA-1055, CMS-148 x EC-601951-1 and CMS-112 x EC-601951-1 showed a strong favourable negative SCA effect. The crosses CMS-112 x LTRR-341 (-1.49), CMS-103 x R-274 (-1.365), CMS-112 x AKSF-15R (-1.24), CMS-148 x EC-601924 (-1.198) and CMS-597 x EC-601924 (-1.01) were having desirable SCA effects for maturity with involvement of low x high, low x low, high x high GCA status of the parents respectively. Hybrids, CMS-112 x LTRR-341, CMS-47 x RHA-1055 and DSF-2 x LTRR-341 had high SCA effect in desirable direction for plant height. Crosses CMS-112 x EC-601951-1 and CMS-597 x R-856 were found to have significant high SCA effect for head diameter. Significant and desirable SCA effects were recorded in crosses, CMS-112 x AKSF-15R, CMS-148 x RHA-1055, CMS-597 x R-856, CMS-103 x R-274, CMS-47 x R-274 and CMS-597 x LTRR-341 for seed filling per cent.

High significant and favourable SCA impact was seen for 100 seed weight in the crosses CMS-47 x EC-601924, CMS-597 x R-274, DSF-2 x AKSF-15R, CMS-597 x EC-601951-1, DSF-2 x R-856, CMS-103 x BK-R-1 and CMS-103 x R-274. For volume weight, CMS-148 x R-856, CMS-112 x BK-R-1 and CMS-47 x RHA-1055 all exhibited a highly significant and positive SCA impact. With low x low, high x high, and low x low paternal GCA included in the combination for the trait hull content, it was observed that the hybrids CMS-112 x LTRR-341, CMS-103 x R-856, DSF-2 x RHA-1055 and CMS-597 x R-274 had a strong desired SCA effect. With respect to oil content, the crosses CMS-112 x LTRR-341, CMS-103 x R-856, DSF-2 x RHA-1055, CMS-597 x R-274, and CMS-148 x AKSF-15R showed a noteworthy and desired SCA effect.

The crosses, CMS-112 x AKSF-15R, CMS-148 x RHA-1055, CMS-597 x R-856, CMS-47 x R-274, CMS-597 x LTRR-341, CMS-112 x EC-601924 and CMS-597 x EC-601951-1 marked high SCA effect with low x high and high x high GCA status of parents for seed yield per plant.

These results were in agreement with the results of Borde *et al.* (2017), Kale *et al.* (2019), Karande *et al.* (2020) and Ghodekar *et al.* (2021) for head diameter, Doke *et al.* (2024) for seed filling, Vairam *et al.* (2016) and Lakshman *et al.* (2021) and for 100 seed weight, Shinde *et al.* (2016) and Ingle *et al.* (2017) for volume weight, Kale *et al.* (2019) and Nehru *et al.* (2021) for hull content, and Salim and Ali (2012) and Varalakshami *et al.* (2019) for oil content.

Among all the forty eight crosses; top performing specific combiners *viz*, CMS-47 x EC-601924 exhibited significant SCA effect for seed filling parentage, 100 seed weight, hull content, oil content and seed yield per plant (Table 5) and CMS-112 x EC-601951-1 for seed yield per plant also found to have significant and desirable SCA effects for other important yield contributing traits days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, head diameter, seed filling percentage, volume weight, hull content. Similar finding was also reported earlier by Rukminidevi *et al.* (2005), Ingle *et al.* (2017), Salke *et al.* (2018) and Kale *et al.* (2019).

**Table1: Analysis of variance for combining ability for different characters in sunflower**

Sources of variation	d.f	Days to50 % flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height	Head diameter	Seed Filling	100-Seed weight	Volume weight	Hull content	Oil content	Seed yield / plant (g)
Replicates	1	6.00	11.34	13.35	1.17	2.29	0.004	8.76	7.41	2.142	3.50
Crosses	47	11.03**	1.89**	390.07**	3.96**	189.61**	1.60**	37.1**	211.70**	22.60**	22.60**
Line effect	5	26.80**	1.33	2106.7**	3.22	307.77**	3.61**	27.06	368.50**	27.80**	27.90**
Tester Effect	7	34.98**	3.30	612.07**	9.72**	615.48**	4.64**	171.70**	688.08**	95.30**	95.30**
L x T Eff.	35	3.99**	1.75**	100.44**	2.92**	87.55**	0.71**	11.87**	93.97**	7.34**	7.30**
Error	47	1.02	0.93	31.98	1.36	15.12	0.029	3.37	7.908	0.536	0.507
Total	95	6.02	1.52	208.94	2.65	101.31	0.808	20.21	108.709	11.481	11.481
<b>Estimates of variance components</b>											
$\sigma^2$ GCA		2.118	0.062	90.979	0.382	31.192	0.293	6.847	1.012	4.363	37.117
$\sigma^2$ (SCA)		1.379	0.309	6.327	0.897	37.487	0.343	4.168	0.622	3.414	42.649
GCA/SCA		1.54	0.20	14.38	0.43	0.83	0.85	1.64	1.63	1.28	0.87

\*Significant at 5 % level and \*\* at 1% level

**Table 2: Per cent contribution by lines, testers and line x tester interaction**

Characters	Lines	Testers	Line x Tester
Days to 50 per cent flowering	25.84 %	47.22 %	26.94 %
Days to maturity	7.07 %	22.47 %	70.46 %
Plant height (cm)	57.47 %	23.39 %	19.14 %
Head diameter (cm)	8.66 %	36.53 %	54.81 %
Seed Filling (%)	16.74 %	48.48 %	34.78 %
100 seed weight (g)	23.92 %	43.08 %	33.00 %
Volume weight (g/100ml)	7.72 %	68.58 %	23.70 %
Hull content (%)	13.87 %	61.08 %	25.05 %
Oil content (%)	13.10 %	62.74 %	62.74 %
Seed yield / plant (g)	18.52 %	48.42 %	33.06 %

Sr.	Characters	Days to 50 %	Days to	Plant	Head	Seed	100 seed	Volume	Hull	Oil	Seed
-----	------------	--------------	---------	-------	------	------	----------	--------	------	-----	------

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 3: Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of lines and testers for ten different characters

ers in sunflower

No.		Flowering	Maturity	height	diameter	filling	weight	weight	content	content	yield/ plant
<b>CMS Lines</b>											
1	<b>DSF-2</b>	-1.938**	0.010	-7.11**	0.391	0.081	-0.620**	0.281	0.750**	-1.420**	-0.111
2	<b>CMS-148</b>	0.688*	0.198	-2.46	-0.673*	-5.060**	-0.390**	1.281**	0.830**	-1.590**	-5.784**
3	<b>CMS-47</b>	-1.188**	0.260	7.25**	-0.360	4.780**	0.756**	0.969*	-0.900**	1.860**	5.414**
4	<b>CMS-597</b>	0.625*	0.010	15.29**	0.506	5.670**	0.102*	0.719	-0.197	0.449*	6.052**
5	<b>CMS-103</b>	0.313	-0.552*	-17.24**	0.132	-2.130*	0.042	-1.656**	-0.322	0.710**	-2.443**
6	<b>CMS-112</b>	1.500**	0.073	4.28**	0.003	-3.340**	0.106*	-1.594**	-0.153	-0.015	-3.128**
	<b>SE ±</b>	0.277	0.255	2.34	0.265	0.858	0.039	0.469	0.192	0.178	0.736
	<b>CD at 5 %</b>	0.558	0.514	4.71	0.533	1.727	0.080	0.945	0.387	0.360	1.481
<b>Testers</b>											
7	<b>RHA-1055</b>	-2.375**	-0.680*	-2.417	0.381	1.595	0.345 **	3.260**	-1.220**	2.490**	1.802*
8	<b>EC-601951-1</b>	2.208**	0.240	7.370**	-0.117	-3.496**	0.117 *	-2.156**	1.310**	-2.840**	-3.298**
9	<b>EC-601924</b>	-1.458**	-0.427	-1.833	0.918**	10.010**	0.927 **	1.177*	-2.270**	4.610**	10.302**
10	<b>R-274</b>	-2.042**	-0.510	-10.670**	-0.404	0.699	-0.180**	7.344**	-0.449 *	0.980**	1.802*
11	<b>LTRR-341</b>	0.958**	-0.010	2.183	-0.930**	-2.074*	-0.440**	-1.573**	0.493 *	-0.920**	-1.315
12	<b>AKSF-15R</b>	0.958**	0.740 *	9.520**	1.474**	9.970**	0.668 **	-0.823	-0.630**	1.310**	9.742**
13	<b>BK-R-1</b>	0.542	0.490	-8.230**	-1.210**	-8.710**	-0.730**	-3.990**	1.180**	-2.290**	-9.305**
14	<b>R-856</b>	1.208**	0.156	4.083*	-0.117	-7.998**	-0.720**	-3.24**	1.580**	-3.360**	-9.730**
	<b>SE ±</b>	0.320	0.295	2.703	0.306	0.991	0.0458	0.542	0.222	0.206	0.850
	<b>CD at 5 per cent</b>	0.645	0.594	5.438	0.616	1.994	0.092	1.092	0.447	0.415	1.710

**Table 4a: Estimation of specific combining ability for days to 50 per centflowering,**

days to  
maturity,  
height,  
diameter  
seed

Sr. No.	Characters	Days to 50 % Flowering	Days to Maturity	Plant height (cm)	Head diameter (cm)	Seed Filling (%)
---------	------------	------------------------	------------------	-------------------	--------------------	------------------

plant  
head  
and  
filling

UNDER PEER REVIEW

crosses						
Sr. No.	Characters	Days to 50% Flowering	Days to Maturity	Plant height (cm)	Head diameter (cm)	Seed Filling (%)
1	DSF-2 x RHA-1055	0.938	0.24	11.73**	0.855	5.884*
2	DSF-2 x EC-601951-1	-1.146	0.323	-6.254	-0.257	-0.776
3	DSF-2 x EC-601924	0.521	0.946	0.946	-0.487	-3.019
4	DSF-2 x R-274	1.604 *	-0.927	9.479*	-0.544	-0.903
5	DSF-2 x LTRR-341	0.104	0.573	-9.671*	-0.598	-4.14
6	DSF-2 x AKSF-15R	-1.396	-0.177	-1.304	0.452	-0.227
7	DSF-2 x BK-R-1	0.021	-0.927	-4.354	0.031	3.763
8	DSF-2 x R-856	-0.646	-0.594	-0.571	0.548	0.173
9	CMS-148 x RHA-1055	2.313 **	0.052	2.079	0.884	11.72**
10	CMS-148 x EC-601951-1	-1.771 *	1.135	-7.504	-2.298 **	-4.202
11	CMS-148 x EC-601924	-0.104	-1.198	-7.604	-0.918	-1.788
12	CMS-148 x R-274	-0.521	-0.115	3.929	-0.056	-2.438
13	CMS-148 x LTRR-341	-1.021	0.385	3.479	1.271	2.159
14	CMS-148 x AKSF-15R	-1.021	-0.365	-2.954	0.826	-2.885
15	CMS-148 x BK-R-1	0.896	-0.115	2.296	-0.401	-0.155
16	CMS-148 x R-856	1.229	0.219	6.279	0.692	-2.411
17	CMS-47 x RHA-1055	-1.813 *	-0.01	-11.43**	-0.35	-8.553**
18	CMS-47 x EC-601951-1	3.104 **	-0.927	-0.317	-0.196	-5.039*
19	CMS-47 x EC-601924	0.271	0.24	11.98**	1.299	5.921*
20	CMS-47 x R-274	-0.646	-0.677	-3.783	0.436	7.561**
21	CMS-47 x LTRR-341	0.354	-0.177	0.667	-1.022	-2.087
22	CMS-47 x AKSF-15R	0.354	1.073	1.133	-1.327	0.799
23	CMS-47 x BK-R-1	-0.729	0.823	3.483	1.126	1.099
24	CMS-47 x R-856	-0.896	-0.344	-1.733	0.034	0.299
25	CMS-597 x RHA-1055	0.375	-0.26	-4.871	-0.985	-2.047
26	CMS-597 x EC-601951-1	-0.708	-0.677	3.046	1.008	5.678*

crosses						
27	CMS-597 x EC-601924	-0.042	-1.01	-3.854	-1.522 *	-8.170**
28	CMS-597 x R-274	-0.458	1.073	-7.221	-0.774	-4.029
29	CMS-597 x LTRR-341	-0.458	0.573	15.13**	1.127	7.506**
30	CMS-597 x AKSF-15R	2.042 *	0.323	3.696	-0.813	-11.05**
31	CMS-597 x BK-R-1	-0.542	0.573	-1.654	0.376	1.45
32	CMS-597 x R-856	-0.208	-0.594	-4.271	1.583 *	10.67**
33	CMS-103 x RHA-1055	0.688	0.802	-7.446	-1.531 *	-7.582**
34	CMS-103 x EC-601951-1	2.104 *	0.885	6.371	-0.228	-2.361
35	CMS-103 x EC-601924	-0.729	-0.448	-6.229	0.462	0.878
36	CMS-103 x R-274	-0.146	-1.365	3.304	0.979	8.046**
37	CMS-103 x LTRR-341	0.854	0.135	2.954	-0.454	-1.669
38	CMS-103 x AKSF-15R	0.354	0.385	0.321	0.136	0.513
39	CMS-103 x BK-R-1	-1.229	-0.365	2.471	1.209	5.124*
40	CMS-103 x R-856	-1.896 *	-0.031	-1.746	-0.573	-2.948
41	CMS-112 x RHA-1055	-2.50 **	-0.823	9.942*	1.128	0.58
42	CMS-112 x EC-601951-1	-1.583 *	-0.74	4.658	1.971 *	6.699**
43	CMS-112 x EC-601924	0.083	0.927	4.758	1.166	6.934**
44	CMS-112 x R-274	0.167	2.010*	-5.708	-0.041	-8.237**
45	CMS-112 x LTRR-341	0.167	-1.49	-12.56**	-0.325	-1.769
46	CMS-112 x AKSF-15R	-0.333	-1.24	-0.892	0.725	12.85**
47	CMS-112 x BK-R-1	1.583 *	0.01	-2.242	-2.341 **	-11.28**
48	CMS-112 x R-856	2.417 **	1.34	2.042	-2.284 **	-5.77*
	SE	0.46	0.20	11.67	0.33	10.17
	CD at 5per cent	1.57	1.51	8.09	1.508	4.97
	CD at 1per cent	2.10	0.97	17.77	2.013	6.52

**Table 4b: Estimation of specific combining ability for 100 seed weight, volumeweight, hull**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Characters</b>	<b>100 seed weight (g)</b>	<b>Volume weight (g/100ml)</b>	<b>Hull content (%)</b>	<b>Oil content (%)</b>	<b>Seed yield/plant (g)</b>
----------------	-------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**content, oil content and seed yield per plant**

crosses						
Sr. No.	DSF-2 x RHA-1055 Characters	100 seed weight 0.263 *	Volume weight 0.052	Hull content -1.572 **	Oil content 3.122**	Seed yield/plant 5.211 *
2	DSF-2 x EC-601951-1	-0.83 **	(g/100ml)	1.573 **	-2.897**	-1.589
3	DSF-2 x EC-601924	-0.45**	2.135	-0.822	1.596**	-3.289
4	DSF-2 x R-274	-1.06 **	0.469	-0.589	1.108*	0.111
5	DSF-2 x LTRR-341	0.413 **	0.885	0.97	-2.038**	-3.573
6	DSF-2 x AKSF-15R	0.904 **	1.135	-0.205	0.306	-1.029
7	DSF-2 x BK-R-1	0.071	1.302	0.236	-0.509	4.417 *
8	DSF-2 x R-856	0.676 **	-3.448 *	0.428	-0.689	-0.258
9	CMS-148 x RHA-1055	-0.019	0.552	1.347 *	-2.757**	12.58 **
10	CMS-148 x EC-601951-1	0.189	-2.031	-0.778	1.764**	-2.716
11	CMS-148 x EC-601924	0.124	-0.865	-0.853	1.657**	0.684
12	CMS-148 x R-274	0.401 **	-3.031 *	1.180 *	-2.416**	-2.716
13	CMS-148 x LTRR-341	0.687 **	1.885	-0.561	1.093*	0.401
14	CMS-148 x AKSF-15R	-0.442**	0.635	-1.086	2.086**	-3.856
15	CMS-148 x BK-R-1	-0.225	-2.698 *	0.705	-1.513**	-0.109
16	CMS-148 x R-856	-0.72 **	5.552 **	0.047	0.087	-4.274 *
17	CMS-47 x RHA-1055	-0.107	3.365 *	-0.022	-0.018	-10.51**
18	CMS-47 x EC-601951-1	-0.484**	1.281	0.803	-1.420**	-5.414 *
19	CMS-47 x EC-601924	1.066 **	-2.552	0.128	-0.305	4.786 *
20	CMS-47 x R-274	-0.227 *	1.281	-0.089	0.102	9.886 **
21	CMS-47 x LTRR-341	0.119	-0.302	-0.03	0.037	-2.298
22	CMS-47 x AKSF-15R	-0.50 **	-1.052	0.045	-0.14	3.646
23	CMS-47 x BK-R-1	-0.218	-1.885	-0.514	0.93	-1.108
24	CMS-47 x R-856	0.357 **	-0.135	-0.322	0.815	1.017
25	CMS-597 x RHA-1055	-0.64**	-4.885 **	-0.178	0.278	-0.952
26	CMS-597 x EC-601951-1	0.735 **	2.031	-0.803	1.876**	5.848 **

5:

crosses						
27	CMS-597 x EC-601924	-0.62 **	0.198	0.372	-0.831	-7.75 **
28	CMS-597 x R-274	1.023 **	2.034	SCA effect	GCA eff. of the parents	2.309** -4.352 *
29	CMS-597 x LTRR-341	0.133	5.948	-0.814 *	-0.607	7.265 **
30	CMS-597 x AKSF-15R	-0.38 **	2.198	0.239	-0.523	-12.29 **
31	CMS-597 x BK-R-1	-0.104	-2.135	0.08	-0.243	1.655
32	CMS-597 x R-856	-0.154	-1.385	1.172 *	-2.169**	10.580 **
33	CMS-103 x RHA-1055	-0.008	1.49	0.547	-1.197*	-7.757 **
34	CMS-103 x EC-601951-1	0.214	-0.594	0.122	-0.026	-0.957
35	CMS-103 x EC-601924	-0.60 **	2.073	0.997	-2.073**	-0.957
36	CMS-103 x R-274	0.507 **	-1.094	-0.02	-0.025	7.543 **
37	CMS-103 x LTRR-341	-0.88 **	-2.177	1.139 *	-2.337**	-1.24
38	CMS-103 x AKSF-15R	0.229 *	0.073	-0.586	1.072*	-0.357
39	CMS-103 x BK-R-1	0.570 **	1.74	-0.445	0.869	5.050 *
40	CMS-103 x R-856	-0.03	-1.51	-1.753 **	3.717**	-1.325
41	CMS-112 x RHA-1055	0.508 **	-0.573	-0.122	0.572	1.428
42	CMS-112 x EC-601951-1	0.171	1.844	-0.897	0.703	4.828 *
43	CMS-112 x EC-601924	0.476 **	-0.99	0.178	-0.045	6.528 **
44	CMS-112 x R-274	-0.65**	0.344	0.711	-1.078*	-10.472 **
45	CMS-112 x LTRR-341	-0.47 **	-2.24	-1.830 **	3.942**	-0.555
46	CMS-112 x AKSF-15R	0.19	-2.990 *	1.595 **	-2.800**	13.888 **
47	CMS-112 x BK-R-1	-0.093	3.677 **	-0.064	0.465	-9.905 **
48	CMS-112 x R-856	-0.133	0.927	0.428	-1.76**	-5.740 **
	SE	0.08	1.37	0.21	0.85	10.92
	CD at 5 per cent	0.226	2.674	1.096	1.059	4.189
	CD at 1 per cent	0.301	3.56	1.462	1.358	5.59

Table

Hybrids exhibiting maximum SCA effect for different characters

% flowering	CMS-47 x R-274	56.50	-0.646	G x G
	CMS-112 x RHA-1055	57.00	-2.500 **	P x G
Days to maturity	CMS-103 x R-274	84.50	-1.365	G x A
	CMS-112 x LTRR-341	85.50	-1.49	A x A
	CMS-112 x RHA-1055	85.50	-0.823	A x G
Plant height (cm)	CMS-103 x RHA-1055	123.70	-7.446	P x A
	CMS-103 x EC-601924	125.50	-6.229	P x A
	CMS-103 x R-274	126.20	3.304	P x G
Head diameter (cm)	DSF-2 x AKSF-15R	16.90	0.452	G x G
	CMS-112 x AKSF-15R	16.79	0.725	P x G
	CMS-112 x EC-601924	16.67	1.166	P x G
Seed filling (%)	CMS-112 x AKSF-15R	93.35	12.85**	P x G
	CMS-47 x EC-601924	93.05	5.921*	G x G
	CMS-47 x AKSF-15R	89.60	0.799	G x G
100 seed weight (g)	CMS-47 x EC-601924	7.525	1.066 **	G x G
	CMS-112 x EC-601924	6.28	0.476 **	G x G
	CMS-47 x RHA-1055	5.77	-0.107	G x G
Volume weight (g/100ml)	CMS-597 x R-274	54.00	2.031	G x G
	CMS-47 x R-274	53.50	1.281	G x G
	DSF-2 x R-274	52.00	0.469	A x G
Hull content (%)	CMS-47 x EC-601924	24.50	0.128	G x G
	DSF-2 x EC-601924	25.20	-0.822	P x G
	CMS-148 x EC-601924	25.30	-0.853	P x G
Oil content (%)	CMS-47 x EC-601924	43.72	-0.305	G x G
	DSF-2 x EC-601924	42.34	1.596**	P x G
	CMS-148 x EC-601924	42.23	1.657**	P x G
Seed yield/plant (g)	CMS-47 x EC-601924	52.70	4.786 *	G x G
	CMS-112 x AKSF-15R	52.70	13.888 **	P x G
	CMS-47 x AKSF-15R	51.00	3.646	G x G

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous. (2021). Agricultural Statistics at a Glance.
- Atlagić, J. (1996). Cytogenetic studies in hexaploid *Helianthus* species and their F<sub>1</sub> hybrids with cultivated sunflower. *Plant Breeding*, 115(4), 257-260.
- Asif, M., Shadakshari, Y. G., Naik, S. J., Venkatesha, S., Vijayakumar, K. T. & Basavaprabhu, K. V. (2013). Combining ability studies for seed yield and its contributing traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *International Journal of Plant Sciences*.8(1), 19-24.
- Borde, S. R., Toprope, V. N., Sonawane, V. G. & Thakur, N. R. (2017). Combining ability studies in maintainer lines of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.).*The Journal of Research ANGRAU*. 45(4), 1-6.
- Dhanalakshmi, R., Manivannan, N., Viswanathan, P. L., Sasikala, R., Rajendran, L. & Senthivelu, M. (2022). Combining ability analysis in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 13(3), 1036-1041.
- Doke, S. R., Naik, G.H. & Ghodke, M.K. (2024) Study of combining ability analysis and gene action in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *International Journal of Research in Agronomy* 2024; 7(4), 506-510.
- Farrokhi, E., Alizadeh, B. & Ghaffari, M. (2008). General combining ability analysis in sunflower maintainer lines using line x tester Crosses. *Proc. 17<sup>th</sup> International Sunflower Conference, Córdoba, Spain*. 571-574.
- Ghodekar, V. G., Ghodke, M. K., Sargar, P. R. & Dhakne, V. R. (2021). Gene Action and Combining Ability Studies Using Line x Tester Analysis in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 108(1).
- Ingle, A. U., Nichal, S. S., Suvarna Gare, S. G. & Gaikwad, A. R. (2016). Combining ability for seed yield, its components and oil content in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). g Ability for Seed Yield, its Components and Oil Content in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) *National Academy of Agricultural Science*. 34(3), 2387-2395.
- Kale, P. P., Vaidya, E. R., Fatak, S. U., Nichal, S. S. & Rajane, A. R. (2019). Combining ability analysis for seed yield, its components and oil content in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *International journal of chemical studies*, 7(1), 1130-1134.

- Karande, P. H., Ghodke, M. K., Misal, A. M. & Tavadare, P. L. (2020). Combining ability and gene action analysis in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 11(4), 1026-1031.
- Kaya, Y. & Atakisi, I. K. (2004). Combining ability analysis of some yield characters of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Helia*, 27, 75-84.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957). An Introduction to genetical statistics. *Jhon Wiley and Sons. INC New York*, pp 217-568.
- Kulkarni, V.V. & Supriya, S.M. (2017). Heterosis and combining ability studies for yield and yield component traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology & Applied Science*. 6(9), 3346-3357.
- Lakshman, S. S., Meena, H. P., Chakrabarty, N. R. & Ghodke, M. K. (2021). Study of gene action and combining ability for seed yield and yield attributing traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) *Journal of Oilseeds Research*. 38(2), 145-151.
- Nehru, S. D., Budihal, A. T., Farooq, M. U., Shadakshari, Y. G., Uma, M. S., Ramesh, S. & Bhat, D. (2021). Identification of inbred lines with good combining ability and hybrids surpassing the best checks in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Indian Society of Oilseeds Research*. 424.
- Patil, R., Goud, I. S., Kulkarni, V. & Banakar, C. (2012). Combining ability and gene action studies for seed yield and its components in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Elect. J. Plant Breed*. 3(3), 861-867.
- Ramaraju, D., Rajguru, A. B., Rajput, H. J. & Nimbalkar, R. D. (2019). Heterosis studies in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *International Journal Current Microbiology & Applied Science*. 8(9),2155-2161.
- Rukminidevi, K., Ranganadha, A.R.G. & Ganesh, M. (2006) Analysis of diverse hybrids for stability to seed yield and yield components in sunflower. *Ann. Agric. Res. New Series*. 27 (2), 167-178.
- Salem, A. H. and Ali, M. A. (2012). Combining Ability for Sunflower Yield. Contributing Characters and Oil Content over Different Water Supply Environments. *J. Americ. Sci.*, 8 (9), 227-233.

- Salke, P. S., Singh R. J., Toprope, V. N., Ingle, N. P. & Fesate, C. G., (2018). Studies on Combining ability, heterosis and gene action in restorer lines of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology & Applied Science*. (6), 1267-1274.
- Shinde S.R., Sapkale, R.B. & Pawar, R.M., (2016). Combining ability analysis for yield and its components in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 12 (1), 51-55.
- Singh, R.B. and Chaudhary, B.D. 1977. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis, Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi.
- Telangre, S. S., Kamble, K.R., Pole, S. P. & Solanki, M. M. (2019). Studies on combining ability of new restorer lines in sunflower (*Helianthus Annuus* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*. 10 (3), 1339-1344.
- Tyagi, V., and Dhillon, S. K. (2016). Cytoplasmic effects on combining ability for agronomic traits in sunflower under different irrigation regimes. *SABRAOJ. Breed. Genet.* 48 (3), 295-308.
- Vairam, N. and Gnanamalar, R. P. (2016). Combining ability studies in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *J. Oilseeds Res.* 33 (1), 72-74.
- Varalakshmi, K. and Neelima, S. (2019). General combining ability of parents and specific combining ability of crosses for yield and oil related traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *J. Oilseeds Res.*, 36 (3), 141-149.

UNDER PEER REVIEW