

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_125073
Title of the Manuscript:	Line x Tester Analysis In Rabi Sorghum For Yield, Yield Components And Quality Traits
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very informative for the scientific community. The knowledge is sufficient. This paper covers good information in detail and depth. That is also one of the reasons why I like this manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but please add the scientific name of sorghum in brackets so that the title reads:  Line x Tester Analysis In <i>Rabi Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench)</i> For Yield, Yield Components And Quality Traits	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is comprehensive. In the keyword add: <i>Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench</i> and India.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is scientifically correct, robust and technically sound. The different subsections in this manuscript cover all general and introductory knowledge. The explanations are in detail.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, they are sufficient. Some are not recent like a few from the 1950s, please change them.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality is suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Well written and structured manuscript. The different sections are divided and explained very well.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Faith Fransisca Kavishe</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania</b>