

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_125050
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE, MINERAL CONTENT DETERMINATION AND PHYTOCHEMICAL ASSESSMENT OF A FORMULATED HERBAL TEA FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF MALARIA INFECTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment:	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript seems to be very helpful for the scientific community, also it is encouraging for the community to putforth new insights in the treatment of malarial infection and making it easy to treat.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title for the article is suitable with its content but it could be in more simple form to make it easily understand to the readers.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article seems to be comprehensive no changes is to be suggested in the abstract but if author wants to make any changes to make it more interesting he/she is inevitable.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure and subsections seems good but there are some spelling mistakes seen which needs to be corrected.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound as it presents clear data and thorough experimental methods. The protocols followed for the phytochemical tests seem accurate and align with established practices, ensuring the credibility of the results. However, including images of the phytochemical test results would further enhance the manuscript's scientific integrity, providing visual proof and supporting the textual data. This addition would also help in verifying the reproducibility of the findings, contributing to the manuscript's overall strength.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in amount are sufficient but many of the references do not seems recent. If the author manages to give alternative recent references for those it will add more value to the paper.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are many of the grammatical mistakes encountered in the manuscript also the error in the spellings, spaces between the words and the sentences framing needs to be corrected.	
Optional/General comments	The research work entitled in the manuscript is good and in the frame of publication when corrected some of the errors mentioned. The corresponding author must have to look after the committed spelling mistakes in the manuscript and get it corrected.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishek Vinod Hole
Department, University & Country	Abhinav Education Society's College of Pharmacy, India