

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_125130 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Carbon budgeting of a long-term rice-rice cropping sequence in the typic ustipsammments of Kerala |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | This manuscript provides data about suitable practice to increase the organic carbon level as well as soil fertility. It also provides information about manage the SOC level, soil fertility as well as productivity. Calculation of different indices makes the data more accurate. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Carbon budgeting of a long-term rice-rice cropping sequence in the typic ustipsammments of Kerala, India | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Add "long- term" before rice- rice cropping sequence. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | If possible add a graphs that represents the result. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Number of treatment is more and follow the standard procedure. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Follow reviewer comment in introduction section. | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Better | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Explain a overall comparision in different treatments in discussion part. | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Keshari Prasad Mohanty |
| Department, University & Country | Ravenshaw University, India |