

# Carbon budgeting of a long-term rice-rice cropping sequence in the *typic ustipsamments* of Kerala

## ABSTRACT

A judicious management practice to improve the soil's organic carbon level and soil fertility is essential for agricultural and environmental sustainability. The present study was undertaken to assess the long-term effect of various management practices on soil carbon dynamics and agricultural sustainability by computation of indices like carbon pool index (CPI), carbon lability index (CLI), carbon management index (CMI), critical carbon input and carbon budgeting. The study was carried out in a permanent manurial trial plot started in 1964 under a rice-rice cropping sequence in Kerala. Based on the results obtained, integrated application of NPK fertilizers along with FYM showed significantly higher carbon indices, increased soil carbon fractions, and carbon sequestration compared to other management practices. The study revealed that applying organics coupled with inorganic fertilizers will manage the SOC level and result in enhanced soil fertility, productivity, and agricultural sustainability.

*Keywords:*[Long-term fertilization, Soil organic carbon, carbon management index, carbon sequestration, carbon budgeting]

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Soil organic carbon (SOC) is an important soil fertility index because of its relationship to crop productivity . Declining SOC level often leads to decreased crop productivity [1]. Hence, maintaining the SOC level is essential for agricultural sustainability. The concept of sustainable agricultural production emphasizes the importance of SOC management for food security and environmental protection. A small change in the SOC pool may greatly influence the atmospheric C pool thus affecting the global C cycle. Hence it is important to maintain, preserve, and store SOC while addressing problems of climate change and food security.

The carbon content in soil depends on the size of the total C pool and the rate of C turnover. The C turnover in the soil is expressed in terms of the lability of C, carbon lability index (CLI), carbon pool index (CPI), and carbon management index (CMI). C management in a system is better understood by CMI. The CMI has been used as an index to determine the capacity of land use to enhance soil quality [2] The loss of C from a unit area of a lesser C pool is of much more significance than the loss of C from an area with a larger C pool [3].

It is difficult to detect SOC changes in the short term due to its slow rate of formation. Long-term field experiments are useful to study the effects of various cropping systems, soil, crop

residues, climate, and management practices on the quantitative changes in SOC, and help to determine agricultural sustainability [4]. SOC dynamics in long-term experiments in rice-based cropping systems must be studied to determine the optimum nutrient management practices for sustaining soil quality and yield. Hence the present study was undertaken at Onattukara Regional Agricultural Research Station, Kayamkulam, Kerala where a permanent manurial trial has been going on from 1964 and has completed 60 years of crop cycles of rice- rice cropping sequence.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1 STUDY SITE AND EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

A 60-year ongoing Permanent Manurial Trial (PMT), on rice at Onattukara Regional Agricultural Research Station, Kayamkulam, Kerala was selected for the study. The soils of the region are sandy loam, deep, well-drained, strongly acidic, and have low cation exchange capacity with shallow water table and single grain structure.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 8 treatments each replicated thrice with rice variety Jaya. The N, P, and K are supplied in the form of Ammonium sulphate (A.S), Rajphos and Muriate of Potash (MOP) respectively. The eight treatments were T<sub>1</sub>-80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as FYM, T<sub>2</sub> -80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as Ammonium Sulphate (A.S), T<sub>3</sub> - 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as A.S + 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> as Rajphos, T<sub>4</sub> -80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as A.S + 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as MOP, T<sub>5</sub> - 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> as Rajphos + 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as MOP, T<sub>6</sub> - 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as A.S + 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> as Rajphos + 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as MOP, T<sub>7</sub>- 80 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (20 kg as FYM and 60 kg as A.S.) + 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> as Rajphos + 40 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as MOP, T<sub>8</sub>-Absolute control.

### **2.2 SOIL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS**

Soil samples were collected for various analyses for two seasons. The collected soil samples were brought to the laboratory, air dried, ground, passed through 2mm sieve and stored in polythene bags. These were further subjected to various analyses. Soil organic carbon was determined using the Walkley and Black method [5], microbial biomass carbon was determined using the Chloroform fumigation and extraction method [6], and total organic carbon was determined by the Dry combustion method using TOC analyzer [7]. Carbon fractions were determined by the Modified Walkley and Black Wet oxidation method using 6, 9, and 12 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for estimating very labile, labile, less labile, and nonlabile C fractions [8].

### **2.3 COMPUTATION OF VARIOUS INDICES**

Carbon Management Index (CMI) was calculated from carbon pool index (CPI) and carbon lability index (CLI) [2]. The Sensitivity Index (SI) was computed to compare the magnitude of changes in different C pools relative to a stable reference (control) soil [9]. C budgeting was done by calculating C build-up per cent, C build-up rate and C sequestered [10].

$$\text{CMI} = \text{CPI} \times \text{CLI} \times 100$$

$$\text{SI} = \frac{(\text{C fraction in soil of a given treatment} - \text{C fraction in control soil}) \times 100}{(\text{C fraction in control soil})}$$

### 2.3.1. CARBON BUDGETING

$$\text{C build up \%} = \frac{(\text{C}_{\text{fert}} - \text{C}_{\text{cont}}) \times 100}{\text{C}_{\text{cont}}}$$

$$\text{C build up rate (Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}) = \frac{(\text{C}_{\text{fert}} - \text{C}_{\text{cont}})}{\text{Years of experimentation}}$$

$$\text{C sequestered (Mg C ha}^{-1}) = \text{SOC}_{\text{final}} - \text{SOC}_{\text{initial}}$$

Here  $\text{C}_{\text{fert}}$  indicates SOC stock in respective treatments and  $\text{C}_{\text{cont}}$  indicates SOC stock in control plot.  $\text{SOC}_{\text{final}}$  indicates the present SOC stock and  $\text{SOC}_{\text{initial}}$  indicates the SOC stock at the start of the experiment. For the present study, SOC before 15 years was taken as the  $\text{SOC}_{\text{initial}}$ .

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 SOIL ORGANIC CARBON (SOC)

Soil organic carbon (SOC) was significantly influenced by treatments. During the Kharif and Rabi seasons, treatment receiving FYM+ Ammonium Sulphate + Rajphos + M.O.P ( $T_7$ ) recorded the highest value (0.67% and 0.71%).  $T_8$  recorded the lowest value (0.31% and 0.33%) during the Kharif and Rabi seasons (Table 1). The increase in SOC in FYM + NPK treated plots can be ascribed to an increase in total N and soil organic matter contents compared to the sole application of fertilizers [11].

### 3.2. TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON (TOC)

TOC was significantly influenced by various treatments (Table 3). During both these seasons, treatment receiving FYM + Ammonium Sulphate + Rajphos + M.O.P ( $T_7$ ) recorded the highest value (1.33% and 1.37%).  $T_8$  (control) recorded the lowest value among all the treatments in both Kharif and Rabi seasons with TOC content of 0.87% and 0.88 % respectively. The increase in TOC may also be due to an increase in carbon input through organic amendments under integrated nutrient management [12].

Treatments	SOC (%)
------------	---------

	T	Kharif	Rabi
AB	T <sub>1</sub> - 80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> as FYM	0.65	0.67
LE	T <sub>2</sub> -Ammonium Sulphate(A.S)(80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.51	0.53
1.E	T <sub>3</sub> - A.S (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.56	0.57
FF	T <sub>4</sub> -A.S(80 kg Nha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.58	0.60
EC	T <sub>5</sub> -Rajphos(40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.55	0.58
T	T <sub>6</sub> -A.S. (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.61	0.63
OF	T <sub>7</sub> - FYM (20kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> +A.S (60 kg Nha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+	0.67	0.71
TR	MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
EA	T <sub>8</sub> -Control	0.31	0.33
TM	CD (0.05)-(Treatments)	0.043	0.040
EN	SE(m) (Treatments)	0.016	0.014
TS			
ON			

SOC %

TABLE 2. SOC % BEFORE 15 YEARS (2009)

TREATMENTS	SOC %
T <sub>1</sub>	0.56
T <sub>2</sub>	0.44
T <sub>3</sub>	0.48
T <sub>4</sub>	0.51
T <sub>5</sub>	0.47
T <sub>6</sub>	0.53
T <sub>7</sub>	0.60
T <sub>8</sub>	0.28

**TABLE 3. EFFECT OF TREATMENTS ON TOC, %3.**

TREATMENTS	TOC (%)	
	KHARIF	RABI
T <sub>1</sub> - 80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> as FYM	1.26	1.30
T <sub>2</sub> -Ammonium Sulphate(A.S)(80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.97	1.10
T <sub>3</sub> - A.S (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.13	1.15
T <sub>4</sub> -A.S(80 kg Nha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.16	1.22
T <sub>5</sub> -Rajphos(40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.11	1.15
T <sub>6</sub> -A.S. (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.22	1.25
T <sub>7</sub> - FYM (20kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> +A.S (60 kg Nha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.33	1.37
T <sub>8</sub> -Control	0.87	0.88
CD (0.05)-(TREATMENTS)	0.125	0.120
SE(M) (TREATMENTS)	0.044	0.043

### 3.3.Computed Indices

#### 3.3.1 CPI, CLI and CMI

The maximum carbon pool index (1.56) was obtained in treatment receiving FYM+A.S+Rajphos+MOP (T<sub>7</sub>) and the lowest (1.25) in control plot (T<sub>8</sub>) (Table 4) .In cotton also the highest CPI was recorded under integrated nutrient management [13] .

Maximum carbon lability index (1.34) was obtained in treatment receiving FYM+A.S+Rajphos+MOP (T<sub>7</sub>) and the lowest (1.06) in treatment receiving sole application FYM (T<sub>1</sub>) (Table 4). Integrated nutrient management system recorded the highest CLI under rice-wheat-jute agroecosystem [14]. CPI and CLI values of control plot was obtained as 1 since, the total C content and lability of C in control plot was taken as reference for computing these indices.

In the present study, the highest CMI value of 209.04was obtained in treatment receiving FYM+A.S+Rajphos+MOP (T<sub>7</sub>) and the lowest (142.5) in treatment receiving sole application of ammonium sulphate (T<sub>2</sub>) (Table 4).CMI in control plot (T<sub>8</sub>) was 100 since CPI and CLI of control plot was 1.

The higher CMI in plot receiving combined application of organics and inorganics was mainly due to addition of fertilizers that increased biomass and in turn improves soil organic matter status and other nutrients through these sources. The incorporation of organics with inorganic fertilizers resulted in greater stability and promoted the quality of soil. Land use with higher CMI value seems to have better C rehabilitation. Increase in CMI through addition of organic manure like compost and addition of mineral N were already reported [15]. Higher the CMI value, more will be the carbon rehabilitation in soil and lower the CMI value indicates that the C is being degraded [16]. C rehabilitation was the highest in treatment receiving FYM+Ammonium Sulphate+Rajphos+M.O.P(T<sub>7</sub>) and the lowest in control (T<sub>8</sub>). Since both labile and non labile C fractions are taken into account for the calculation of CMI, a more definite picture of soil can be drawn.

**Table 4. Carbon pool index, carbon lability index and carbon management index under different treatments**

Treatments	Carbon pool index	Carbon lability index	Carbon management index
T <sub>1</sub> - 80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> as FYM	1.48	1.14	168.72
T <sub>2</sub> -Ammonium Sulphate(A.S)(80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.25	1.06	132.5
T <sub>3</sub> - A.S (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.31	1.15	150.65
T <sub>4</sub> -A.S(80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.39	1.09	151.51
T <sub>5</sub> -Rajphos(40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.31	1.29	168.99
T <sub>6</sub> -A.S (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +Rajphos (40 kg	1.42	1.18	167.56

$P_2O_5$ ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
T <sub>7</sub> - FYM (20kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> +A.S (60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1.56	1.34	209.04
T <sub>8</sub> -Control	1	1	100

### 3.3.2 Sensitivity Index

The Table 5 revealed that active C pool (30.43% to 89.13%), SOC (90% to 133.33%) and soil microbial biomass carbon (50.01% to 250.03%) were more sensitive while TOC (25.28% to 56.32%) and passive carbon pool (14.7% to 44.18%) were less sensitive. Labile C pools have greater sensitivity [17]. The sensitivity index reflects the degree of change in each SOC fraction due to different management practices.

**Table 5. Sensitivity indices of different carbon fractions under different treatments, %**

Treatments	TOC	SOC	Active C pool	Passive C pool	Soil microbial biomass carbon
T <sub>1</sub> - 80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> as FYM	48.27	120	73.91	38.23	200.02
T <sub>2</sub> -A.S(80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	25.28	73.33	30.43	20.59	75.01
T <sub>3</sub> - A.S (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	31.03	86.67	52.17	23.53	100.01
T <sub>4</sub> -A.S(80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	39.08	96.67	52.17	32.35	50.01
T <sub>5</sub> -Rajphos(40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	31.03	90	43.48	14.7	150.02
T <sub>6</sub> -A.S (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	42.53	106.67	78.26	14.7	50.01
T <sub>7</sub> - FYM (20kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> +A.S (60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	56.32	133.33	89.13	44.18	250.03

### 3.3.3 Carbon Budgeting

The highest SOC stock ( $15.64 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded in treatment receiving FYM+A.S+Rajphos+MOP ( $T_7$ ) followed by treatment receiving sole application of FYM ( $T_1$ ) ( $15.3 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ ) and the lowest in control plot ( $T_8$ ) ( $11.92 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ ) (Table 7). Though the application of FYM decreased soil bulk density, it significantly increased soil organic carbon and root biomass thus ultimately increased SOC stock. Higher per cent of C build up (31.21%) was recorded in treatment receiving FYM+A.S+Rajphos+MOP ( $T_7$ ) followed by sole application of FYM ( $T_1$ ) (28.35%). Similar trend was followed in C build up rate with  $T_7$  recorded the highest value ( $0.37 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ) followed by  $T_1$  ( $0.34 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ) (Table 7). Under finger millet cropping system also a higher C build up per cent and C buildup rate were recorded in FYM+NPK treated plot [10]. Annual carbon input in the terms of FYM application significantly affected soil carbon build up and SOC stock in profile. Addition of organic manures either alone or in combination with NPK fertilizers resulted in significant build up of C in soil profile.

Carbon sequestration was found the highest ( $2.57 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ ) in treatment receiving FYM+A.S+Rajphos+MOP ( $T_7$ ) followed by  $T_1$  ( $2.32 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ ) and the lowest ( $1.9 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ ) in control plot (Table 6). One of the main strategies for green house gas mitigation identified by IPCC is the sequestration of C in soils. Improving C content of terrestrial carbon pool through residue incorporation, application of organics, conservation agriculture and reducing erosion have been documented. An increase in SOC over a period of 15 years under integrated nutrient management may be due to the increased microbial activity and root biomass on application of FYM [18]. At sites initially low in organic matter status, continuous cropping increased the SOC levels even in soils not treated with organic manures [19]. The application of fertilizers significantly enhanced soil C sequestration, by enhancing biomass production and improving C: N ratios of residues retained in the field. Thus combining organic manures with inorganic fertilizers seems most promising for C sequestration in agricultural soils.

**Table 6. SOC stock, C build up %, C build up rate, C sequestered under different treatments**

Treatments	SOC stock ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1}$ )	C build up %	C build up rate ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ )	C sequestered ( $\text{Mg C ha}^{-1}$ )
$T_1$ - 80 kg N $\text{ha}^{-1}$ as FYM	15.3	28.35	0.34	2.32
$T_2$ -Ammonium Sulphate(A.S)(80 kg N $\text{ha}^{-1}$ )	14.46	21.31	0.25	1.94
$T_3$ - A.S (80 kg N $\text{ha}^{-1}$ )+Rajphos (40 kg $\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$ $\text{ha}^{-1}$ )	14.95	25.42	0.30	2.22

T <sub>4</sub> -A.S(80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	15.01	25.92	0.31	2.16
T <sub>5</sub> -Rajphos(40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	14.87	24.75	0.29	2.02
T <sub>6</sub> -A.S (80 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> ) +Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	14.90	25.00	0.29	2.27
T <sub>7</sub> - FYM (20kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> +A.S (60 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )+Rajphos (40 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> )+MOP (40 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> )	15.64	31.21	0.37	2.57
T <sub>8</sub> -Control	11.92	-	-	1.90

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Long term balanced fertilizer application along with FYM resulted in an increased SOC and C sequestration compared to unfertilized plot. The study also provided an insight on the effect of various management practices on soil carbon fractions. The increase in SOC through increased crop residues by adding inorganic fertilizers alone may not be sufficient to meet the depleted SOC. Therefore, we must revert to the age-old practice of the addition of organic manures also along with inorganic fertilizers to maintain soil fertility and to sustain agricultural productivity.

#### REFERENCES

1. Lal, R. 2006. Enhancing crop yields in developing countries through restoration of the soil organic carbon pool in agricultural lands. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 17: 197-209.
2. Blair, G. J., Lefroy, R. D., and Lisle, L. 1995. Soil carbon fractions based on their degree of oxidation, and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural systems. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 46: 1459–1466.
3. Sruthi, S.N. and Ramasamy, E.V. 2018. Enrichment of soil organic carbon by native earthworms in a patch of tropical soil, Kerala, India: First report. *Sci. Rep.* 8: 5784.
4. Hemalatha, S., Radhika, K., Maragatham, S., and Katharine, S.P. 2013. Influence of long term fertilization on soil fertility. *J. Agric. Allied Sci.* 2(3):30-36.
5. Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic matter, and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.* 37(1): 29-38.
6. Jenkinson, D. S. and Powelson, D. S. 1976. The effects of biocidal treatments on metabolism in soil fumigation with chloroform. *Soil Biol. And Biochem.* 8: 167-177.
7. Tiessen, H. and Moir, J.O. 1993. Total and organic carbon. In: M.E. Carter. (ed), *Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis*, Lewis Publishers, Ann Arbor, MI. p. 187-211.

8. Chan, K.Y., Bowman, A., and Oates, A. 2001. Oxidizable organic carbon fractions and soil quality changes in an OxicPaleustaff under different pasture lays. *Soil Sci.* 166: 61–67.
9. Leite, L.F., Iwata, B.F., Araujo, A.S. 2014. Soil organic matter pools in a tropical savanna under agroforestry system in northeastern Brazil. *RevistaArvore, Vicosa-MG*, 38(4): 711-723.
10. Srinivasarao, C.H., Venkateswarlu, B., Lal, R., Singh, A.K., Kundu, S., Vittal, K.P.R., Sharma, S.K., Sharma, R.A., Jain, M.P., and Chary, G.R. 2012. Sustaining agronomic productivity and quality of a Vertisolic soil (Vertisol) under soybean-safflower cropping system in semi-arid central India. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 92: 771-785.
11. Chakraborty, A., Chakrabarti, K., Chakraborty, A., and Ghosh, S. 2011. Effect of long-term fertilizers and manure application on microbial biomass and microbial activity of a tropical agricultural soil. *Bio.f Fertil. Soils* 47: 227–233.
12. Venkatesh, M.S., Hazra, K.K., Ghosh, P.K., Praharaj, C.S., and Kumar, N. 2013. Long-term effect of pulses and nutrient management on soil carbon sequestration in Indo-Gangetic plains of India. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 93: 127-136.
13. Reddy, D.D., Blaise, D., Kumrawat, B., and Singh, A.K. 2017. Evaluation of integrated nutrient management interventions for cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) on a Vertisol in Central India *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 48(4): 469–475.
14. Majumder, B., Mandal, B., and Bandyopadhyay, P.K. 2007. Soil organic carbon pools and productivity relationships for a 34 year old rice-wheat-jute agroecosystem under different fertilizer treatments. *Plant Soil* 297: 53-67.
15. Nogueirol, R.C., Cerri, C.E.P., Silva, W.T.L., and Alleoni, L.R.F. 2014. Effect of no-tillage and amendments on carbon lability in tropical soils. *Soil Tillage Res.* 143: 67-76.
16. Sainepo, B.M., Gachene, C.K., and Karuma, A. 2018. Assessment of soil organic carbon fractions and carbon management index under diferent land use types in Olesharo Catchment, Narok County, Kenya. *Carbon Balance Manag.* 13(4): 1-9.
17. Das, D., Dwivedi, B. S., Singh, V. K., Datta, S. P., Meena, M. C., Chakraborty, K.K., Bandyopadhyay, D., Kumar, R., and Mishra, R. P. 2016. Long-term effects of fertilisers and organic sources on soil organic carbon fractions under a rice–wheat system in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of north-west India. *Soil Res.*<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SR16097>.
18. Katkar, R.N., Kharche, V.K., Sonune, B.A., Wanjari, R. H., and Singu, M. 2012. Long term effect of nutrient management on soil quality and sustainable productivity under sorghum-wheat crop sequence in Vertisol of Akola, Maharashtra. *Agropedology* 22 (2): J03-JJ4.
19. Yadav, R.L., Dwivedi, B.S., Prasad, K., Tomar, O.K., Shurpali, N.J., and Pandey, P.S. 2000. Yield trends, and changes in soil organic C and available NPK in a long-term rice–wheat system under integrated use of manures and fertilizers. *Field Crops Res.* 68: 219–246.