

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_124615
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF A HOMEMADE COMPLEMENTARY FOOD
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the critical issue of protein-energy malnutrition in developing nations, particularly in Nigeria, by presenting a viable complementary food solution. b. The detailed nutritional analysis and sensory evaluation provide valuable insights into how local ingredients can be combined to meet dietary needs effectively. c. Additionally, it highlights the importance of enhancing traditional food practices, which could improve child health outcomes. d. Overall, the study's focus on practical applications of nutritional science makes it a commendable contribution to the field. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title of the article is suitable as it clearly reflects the main focus of the study: evaluating both the nutritional composition and sensory properties of a homemade complementary food. 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The abstract is generally comprehensive, covering the key elements of the study, including the rationale, methodology, results, and conclusions. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The subsections and structure of the manuscript are generally appropriate, as they follow a logical progression from introduction to methods, results, and conclusions. The clear division into sections allows for easy navigation of the content. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its rigorous methodology and adherence to established analytical techniques, such as AOAC standards for proximate composition and spectrophotometric methods for micronutrient analysis. The use of a ten-member panel for sensory evaluation adds credibility to the findings, ensuring that subjective assessments are systematically gathered and analyzed. Furthermore, the detailed statistical analysis using ANOVA enhances the reliability of the results, allowing for meaningful comparisons between the nutritional values of the complementary food. Overall, the integration of comprehensive data on both nutritional composition and sensory properties supports the manuscript's technical soundness and relevance in addressing malnutrition in developing regions. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The references in the manuscript appear to be relevant and sufficient for supporting the study's claims. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • • The language and overall quality of English in the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The manuscript effectively conveys the research objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • • The study is an original paper and the techniques applied have been successfully selected and applied in accordance with the technique. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmet Refik Onal
Department, University & Country	Tekirdag Namik Kemal University, Turkiye