

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_124824
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Analysis and Evaluation of the Antifungal Activity of Total Extracts from Five Plants Against Four Dermatophytes Responsible for Superficial Infections
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study highlights the growing concern of superficial infections caused by dermatophytes and the need for natural alternatives to synthetic antifungals. It investigates the antifungal activity of five plant extracts, revealing <i>Zanthoxylum gillettii</i> and <i>Distemonanthus benthamianus</i> as particularly effective against key dermatophytes. The presence of bioactive compounds suggests these extracts could offer viable treatments for skin infections. Overall, the research underscores the potential of phytochemicals in addressing rising antifungal resistance.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is fully comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are quite adequate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically and technically sound. The authors used modern approaches to research and methodology. Modern methods and devices were used to solve the problem and determine the corresponding conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent enough.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is correct, but some very slight technical corrections on the manuscript can be done.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article meets modern requirements for scientific work and can be published.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mirza Alexandru
Department, University & Country	Moldova State University, Republic of Moldova