

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_124824
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Analysis and Evaluation of the Antifungal Activity of Total Extracts from Five Plants Against Four Dermatophytes Responsible for Superficial Infections
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is good in terms of antifungal activity of plant extracts which helps in developing a formulation	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>No, the title is "Phytochemical Analysis and Evaluation of the Antifungal Activity of Total Extracts from Five Plants Against Four Dermatophytes Responsible for Superficial Infections</p> <p>In the title total extract is not suitable word, because author is taking only one plant part in the research</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>some corrections are required</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Which bioactive compound present in the plant have antifungal activity, not mentioned in the manuscript 2) The comparison of five plants with standard drug is not mentioned in the manuscript 3) MIC not found <p>Author has written in the conclusion that ethanolic extract have fungicidal activity, how this conclusion is made, because author has not mentioned the data of MIC in thje manuscript</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4) SD and SEM is missing 5) The mechanism of action for antifungal activity of all the five plants is not mentioned in the manuscript 6) Zone of inhibition is not mentioned. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, many grammatical mistakes are there	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kushagra Dubey
Department, University & Country	Smriti College of Pharmaceutical Education, India