

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AsianResearchJournalofMathematics
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_ARJOM_124484
TitleoftheManuscript:	A Note on Sum Formulas of Generalized Pentanacci Sequence: Closed Forms of the Sum Formulas $\sum_{k=0}^n k^k W^k$ $\sum_{k=1}^n k^k W^k$
Typeofthe Article	OriginalResearchArticle

ReviewForm3

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The title of the paper is: A Note on Sum Formulas of Generalized Pentanacci Sequence: Closed Forms of the Sum Formulas $\sum_{k=0}^n kx^k W_k \sum_{k=1}^n 1kx^k W^{-k}$</p> <p>They provided new interesting results and identities.</p> <p>Well, I must say, the quality of the paper would be improved if the authors could revise the paper according to what I have marked at the PDF file of the main paper under the guidance of the respected Editor.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes. The title of the paper is completely appropriate to the content of the article and conveys the purpose of the paper well. It would be better if they could remove the mathematics formula from the title!</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the paper is quite fluent and expressive. The abstract conveys the purpose of the paper very well.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes. There are completely suitable.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The topic of the paper is of great interest. The paper is written correctly and clearly. The English used in this paper is readable (but need minor revision). I am sure that, it is good enough to send the scientific meaning correctly.</p> <p>The paper scientifically sounds good and it is complete. Of course, verification of the proofs of results in this paper is the responsibility of the respected authors.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references used in this paper are fresh and up-to-date. But a very important flaw in this section is that one author's papers are referred to more than 17 times. And in my opinion, this should be corrected in the text of the paper and in the references section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language of the text of the paper is fluent and readable, but some parts of the paper need minor corrections (Of course, according to the opinion of the respected editor).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>By the way, to be honest, the paper is appropriate for this journal. I recommend acceptance for publication in the journal (with minor revisions).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyyed Hossein Jafari Petroudi
Department, University & Country	Payame Noor University, Iran